



Revised 12-22-2011

Bureau of Justice Statistics Special Report

June 2008, NCJ 221946

Prison Rape Elimination Act of 2003

Sexual Victimization in Local Jails Reported by Inmates, 2007

By Allen J. Beck, Ph.D.
and Paige M. Harrison,
BJS Statisticians

The Prison Rape Elimination Act of 2003 (P.L. 108-79) requires the Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS) to carry out a comprehensive statistical review and analysis of the incidence and effects of prison rape for each calendar year. This report fulfills the requirement under Sec. 4(c)(2)(B)(ii) of the Act to provide a list of local jails according to the prevalence of sexual victimization.

In December 2007, BJS published *Sexual Victimization in State and Federal Prison Reported by Inmates, 2007* (NCJ 219414), which details the findings from 23,398 inmates held in 146 sampled prisons in the National Inmate Survey (NIS). This report presents the findings for the 282 local jails in the NIS sample. The survey on sexual victimization, conducted by RTI International (Research Triangle Park, NC), was administered to 40,419 jail inmates between April and December 2007. (See *Methodology* for sample description.)

The NIS is part of the National Prison Rape Statistical Program, which collects administrative records of reported sexual violence as well as collecting allegations of sexual violence directly from victims through surveys of current and former inmates. Administrative records have been collected annually since 2004. Data collections from former inmates under active supervision and youth held in state and locally operated juvenile facilities are underway.

The 2007 NIS survey consisted of an audio computer-assisted self interview (ACASI) in which inmates, using a touch-screen, interacted with a computer-assisted ques-

tionnaire and followed audio instructions delivered via headphones. A small number of jail inmates (223) completed a short paper form. These were primarily inmates housed in administrative or disciplinary segregation or considered too violent to be interviewed.

The NIS is a self-administered survey designed to encourage reporting by providing anonymity to respondents. Computer-assisted technologies provide uniform conditions under which inmates complete the survey. In each facility, respondents are randomly selected. Before the interview, inmates are informed verbally and in writing that participation is voluntary and that all information will be held in confidence. Overall, two-thirds (67%) of eligible sampled jail inmates participated in the survey.

To provide reliable facility-level estimates of sexual violence, the NIS limited reporting of sexual victimization to incidents that occurred at the sampled jail facilities during the 6 months prior to the date of the interview. Inmates who had served less than 6 months were asked about their experiences since admission to the facility.

The NIS collects only allegations of sexual victimization. Because participation in the survey is anonymous and reports are confidential, the NIS does not permit any follow-up investigation or substantiation through review of official records. Some allegations in the NIS may be untrue. At the same time, some inmates may remain silent about sexual victimization experienced in the facility, despite efforts of survey staff to assure inmates that their survey responses would be kept confidential. Although the effects may be offsetting, the relative extent of underreporting and false reporting in the NIS is unknown.

Errata: Sexual Victimization in Local Jails Reported by Inmates, 2007

Estimates in the report described the National Inmate Survey's limited reporting of sexual victimization incidents to "the last 6 months, or since admission to the facility, if less than 6 months." After the report was published, it was discovered that the reporting period programmed in the audio computer-assisted self interview (ACASI) computer instrument was based on incidents occurring in "the last 12 months, or since admission, if less than 12 months." The estimated numbers in the report were not impacted by this amendment.

3.2% of jail inmates reported experiencing one or more incidents of sexual victimization

Among the 40,419 jail inmates participating in the 2007 survey, 1,330 reported experiencing one or more incidents of sexual victimization. Because the NIS is a sample survey, weights were applied for sampled facilities and inmates within facilities to produce national-level and facility-level estimates. The estimated number of local jail inmates experiencing sexual violence totaled 24,700 (or 3.2% of all jail inmates, nationwide).

About 1.6% of inmates (12,100, nationwide) reported an incident involving another inmate, and 2.0% (15,200) reported an incident involving staff. Some inmates (0.4%) said they had been sexually victimized by both other inmates and staff (table 1).

The NIS screened for specific sexual activities, then asked respondents if they were forced or pressured to engage in these activities by another inmate or staff. (See appendices 7 through 9 for specific survey questions.) Reports of inmate-on-inmate sexual violence were classified as either nonconsensual sexual acts or abusive sexual contacts. Approximately 0.7% of jail inmates (5,200) said they had nonconsensual sex with another inmate, including giving or receiving sexual gratification, and oral, anal, or vaginal penetration. An additional 0.9% of jail inmates (6,900) said they had experienced one or more abusive sexual contacts only, that is, unwanted touching of specific body parts in a sexual way by another inmate.

An estimated 1.3% of all inmates (10,400) reported that they had sex or sexual contact unwillingly with staff as a result of physical force, pressure, or offers of special favors or privileges. An estimated 1.1% of all inmates (8,400) reported they willingly had sex or sexual contact with staff. Regardless of whether an inmate reported being willing or unwilling, any sexual contact between jail inmates and staff is illegal; however, the difference may be informative when addressing issues of staff training, prevention, and follow-up.

Table 1. Local jail inmates reporting sexual victimization, National Inmate Survey, 2007

Type	National estimate	
	Number	Percent
Total	24,700	3.2%
Inmate-on-inmate	12,100	1.6%
Nonconsensual sexual acts	5,200	0.7
Abusive sexual contacts only	6,900	0.9
Staff sexual misconduct	15,200	2.0%
Unwilling activity	10,400	1.3
Excluding touching	8,300	1.1
Touching only	2,100	0.3
Willing activity	8,400	1.1
Excluding touching	7,100	0.9
Touching only	1,200	0.2

Note: Detail may not sum to total because inmates may report more than one type of victimization. They may also report victimization by other inmates and by staff.

Table 2. Local jails with high rates of inmate sexual victimization, National Inmate Survey, 2007

Facility name	Number of respondents ^b	Response rate	Percent of inmates reporting sexual victimization ^a		Number of similar facilities ^e
			Weighted percent ^c	Standard error ^d	
U.S. total	40,419	67%	3.2%	0.1%	
Torrance Co. Det. Fac. (NM) ^f	67	40	13.4	4.1	53
Clark Co. Jail (WA)	163	71	9.1	2.2	80
Bernalillo Co. Metro. Det. Ctr. (NM)	117	42	8.9	2.9	151
Brevard Co. Det. Ctr. (FL)	228	83	8.5	1.9	86
Southeastern Ohio Reg. Jail (OH)	85	57	8.1	2.1	116
Wayne Co. Jail (IN)	131	75	7.5	1.9	133
Franklin Co. Jail (NY)	81	86	7.3	1.4	110
New York City Rose M. Singer Ctr. (NY) ^g	178	68	7.2	1.7	129
Atlanta City Jail (GA)	145	41	7.1	3.0	239
Fulton Co. Jail (GA)	187	67	7.1	1.8	137
Caldwell Parish Jails (LA)	210	93	6.9	1.6	149
Philadelphia City Industrial Corr. Ctr. (PA)	180	71	6.9	1.8	150
Will Co. Adult Det. Fac. (IL)	172	73	6.8	2.0	168
Androscoggin Co. Jail (ME)	55	67	6.7	2.2	192
La Fourche Parish Jail (LA)	151	76	6.6	1.2	122
Dixie Co Jail (FL)	56	67	6.5	2.5	231
Los Angeles Co. - Twin Towers Corr. Fac. (CA)	95	43	6.4	2.6	239
Riverside Co. Robert Presley Det. Ctr. (CA)	141	66	6.4	2.2	210

Note: Includes all facilities with a prevalence rate of at least twice the national average (3.2%). Excludes Chowan Co. Det. Fac. (NC), 8.6%, and Pulaski Co. Tri-Co. Justice & Det. Ctr. (IL), 6.7%, with rates that were not statistically different from zero at the 95% confidence level.

^aPercent of inmates reporting one or more incidents of sexual victimization involving another inmate or facility staff in the past 6 months or since admission to the facility, if less than 6 months.

^bNumber of respondents selected for the NIS on sexual victimization.

^cWeights were applied so that inmates who responded accurately reflected the entire population of each facility on selected characteristics, including age, gender, race, and time served since admission.

^dStandard errors may be used to construct confidence intervals around the weighted survey estimates. For example, the 95% confidence interval around the total percent is 3.2% plus or minus 1.96 times 0.1% (or 3.0% to 3.4%).

^eEstimates for each facility are determined to be statistically similar if the 95% confidence interval around the difference contains zero. (See *Methodology* for details.)

^fPrivate facility.

^gFemale only facility.

18 jails had prevalence rates of at least twice the national average of 3.2%

Of the 282 jail facilities in the 2007 NIS, 18 had an overall victimization rate of at least twice the national average of 3.2% (table 2). The overall victimization rate is a measure of prevalence that includes all experiences, regardless of the level of coercion and type of sexual activity.

Statistically, the NIS is unable to identify the facility with the highest prevalence rate. Because the estimates are based on a sample of inmates rather than a complete enumeration, the estimates are subject to sampling error. The precision of each facility estimate can be calculated based on the estimated standard error. For example, the victimization rate of 13.4% recorded for the Torrance County Detention Facility (New Mexico) has a precision of plus or minus 8.0% with a 95% confidence level. This precision, based on the standard error of 4.1% multiplied by 1.96, indicates a 95% confidence that the true prevalence rate in the Torrance County Detention Facility is between 5.4% and 21.4%.

Within each facility, the estimated standard error varies by the size of the estimate, the number of completed interviews, and the size of the facility. Although the sampling procedures are designed to produce the same level of precision within all facilities (a standard error of 1.75%), the actual standard errors varied depending on the response rate and characteristics of the responding inmates. (See *Methodology* for further discussion of standard errors.)

As a consequence of sampling error, the NIS cannot provide an exact ranking for all facilities as required under the Prison Rape Elimination Act. However, detailed tabulations of the survey results are presented by facility and state in appendix tables 1 through 6.¹ Facility prevalence rates vary by level and type of victimization, and observed differences between facilities will not always be statistically significant. Consequently, these measures cannot be used to reliably rank facilities from 1 (the highest) to 282 (the lowest).

Unlike the results of the 2007 NIS in state and federal prisons, the NIS in local jails does not provide a statistical basis for identifying a small group of facilities with the highest rates of sexual victimization. Based on the large confidence interval around the Torrance County Detention Facility (13.4% plus or minus 8.0%), 38

other facilities would be included in the interval, but these facilities also have estimated rates and confidence intervals.

By constructing 95% confidence intervals around the differences between facility estimates, we can determine the number of facilities with statistically similar rates of victimization. For example, the confidence interval around the observed difference between the Torrance County Detention Facility and the Polk County Jail (Iowa) is 8.6% plus or minus 9.5%. Since the interval includes zero, these facilities are considered to be statistically similar. Overall, 53 jail facilities are statistically similar to the Torrance County Detention Facility.

Facilities with rates lower than the 4.8% in the Polk County Jail are statistically different from Torrance County. Terrebonne Parish Jail (Louisiana) had the next highest rate, 4.7%. Since the 95% confidence interval around the observed difference with Torrance County (8.7% plus or minus 8.4%) does not include zero, the Terrebonne Parish Jail is considered statistically different. (See *Methodology* for calculation of confidence intervals comparing facilities.)

Nearly a third of all facilities had rates indistinguishable from zero

Eighteen jail facilities had no reported incidents of sexual victimization (table 3). Cameron County Jail (Texas) was the largest jail (1,368 inmates) with no reported incidents, followed by Northwest Ohio Regional Correctional Center

Table 3. Local jails with no reported incidents of inmate sexual victimization, National Inmate Survey, 2007

Facility name	Number of inmates in custody ^a	Number of respondents	Response rate ^b
Cameron Co. Jail (TX)	1,368	100	40%
Northwest Ohio Reg. Corr. Ctr. (OH)	662	154	70
Orange Co. Work Rel. Ctr. (FL)	300	104	59
Hampden Co. Western Mass. Corr. Alcohol Ctr. (MA)	184	117	84
Jackson Co. Municipal Corr. Inst. (MO)	219	55	43
Coles Co. Jail (IL)	97	70	83
Culpeper Co. Jail (VA)	113	58	69
Atchison Co. Jail (KS)	77	39	57
Story Co. Jail (IA)	81	38	63
Knox Co. Work Rel. Center (TN)	64	35	72
Dinwiddie Co. Jail (VA)	59	39	76
Cecil Co. Com. Adult Rehab. Ctr. (MD)	49	32	75
Tippah Co. Jail (MS)	38	26	83
Bullock Co. Jail (AL)	33	9	41
Prowers Co. Jail (CO)	31	19	91
Koochiching Co. Law Enfor. Ctr. (MN)	20	9	100
Searcy Co. Jail (AR)	11	8	73
Wayne Co. Jail (MO)	16	6	86

Note: An additional 69 facilities had rates of sexual victimization that were not statistically different from zero at the 95% confidence level.

^aNumber of inmates held in the facility on the day of the facility roster plus any new inmates admitted prior to the first day of data collection. (See *Methodology* for details.)

^bResponse rate equals the total number of respondents divided by the number of inmates sampled minus the number of ineligible inmates times 100 percent. (See *Methodology* for sampling description.)

¹Facility level information and estimates are provided for all sampled jails in appendix tables 1 and 2. Appendix tables 3 through 6 exclude those jails with no reported incidents of sexual victimization and rates not statistically different from zero.

(Ohio), with 662 inmates, and Orange County Work Release Center (Florida), with 300 inmates.

An additional 69 facilities had rates that were not statistically different from zero at the 95% confidence level. Thirty-seven of these facilities had rates below 1.5% (not shown), and 21 were large facilities with more than 1,000 inmates in custody. The Bexar County Adult Detention Center (Texas), with 4,179 inmates in custody, was the largest facility surveyed that had a rate of sexual victimization indistinguishable from zero (1.6% plus or minus 1.8%).

Identification of the facilities with the highest rates of sexual victimization depends on non-statistical judgments

Of the 18 facilities that had the highest overall prevalence rates of sexual victimization, 3 facilities were consistently high on measures restricted to the most serious forms of sexual victimization (table 4). The Torrance County Detention Facility (New Mexico) had the highest rate — 10.1% when sexual victimization excluded willing activity with staff and 8.9% when victimization excluded abusive sexual contacts (allegations of touching only). The Southeastern Ohio Regional Jail and the Bernalillo County Metropolitan Detention Center (New Mexico) were also among the top five facilities on each of these more serious measures of sexual victimization.

Of the 282 sampled facilities, 19 jails had statistically significant rates of injury related to sexual victimization (table 5). Overall, 0.6% of all jail inmates reported an injury related to sexual victimization. The Riverside County Robert Presley Detention Center (California) had the highest observed rate with 4.6% of inmates reporting an injury, followed by Garfield County Jail (Colorado) with 4.0%, and San Diego County George F. Bailey Detention Facility (California) with 3.6%.

The Brevard County Detention Center (Florida), with an injury rate of 3.1%, and the Southeastern Ohio Regional Jail (Ohio), with an injury rate of 2.5%, were also among the 5 facilities recording the highest overall rates of sexual victimization and the highest rates of nonconsensual sexual activity.

Most victims of sexual violence in jails did not report an injury. Nationwide, approximately 20% of the estimated 24,700 victims said they had been injured as a result of the sexual victimization. The majority of injured victims reported minor injuries, such as bruises, cuts, or scratches (16%). Most injured victims (85%) also reported at least one more serious injury. Among all victims, 8% reported

Table 4. Local jails with the highest rates of inmate sexual victimization, by type, National Inmate Survey, 2007

Measure/facility	Percent of inmates reporting sexual victimization ^a	
	Percent	Standard error
Facilities with the highest percent reporting any form of sexual victimization		
Torrance Co. Det. Fac. (NM) ^b	13.4%	4.1%
Clark Co. Jail (WA)	9.1	2.2
Bernalillo Co. Metro. Det. Ctr. (NM)	8.9	2.9
Brevard Co. Det. Ctr. (FL)	8.5	1.9
Southeastern Ohio Reg. Jail (OH)	8.1	2.1
Facilities with the highest percent reporting a non-consensual sexual act or abusive sexual contact^c		
Torrance Co. Det. Fac. (NM) ^b	10.1%	3.8%
Clark Co. Jail (WA)	8.5	2.1
Southeastern Ohio Reg. Jail (OH)	8.1	2.1
Bernalillo Co. Metro. Det. Ctr. (NM)	7.8	2.7
Wayne Co. Jail (IN)	7.5	1.9
Facilities with the highest percent reporting a nonconsensual sexual act^d		
Torrance Co. Det. Fac. (NM) ^b	8.9%	3.3%
Brevard Co. Det. Ctr. (FL)	7.8	1.8
Bernalillo Co. Metro. Det. Ctr. (NM)	6.7	2.5
Southeastern Ohio Reg. Jail (OH)	5.8	1.8
Lexington-Fayette Urban Co. D.C.C. (KY)	5.5	1.8

Note: All measures are based on facilities with estimates statistically different from zero at the 95% confidence level.

^aInmates reporting one or more incidents of sexual victimization involving another inmate or facility staff in the past 6 months or since admission to the facility, if less than 6 months.

^bPrivate facility.

^cExcludes allegations of willing sexual contacts with staff.

^dIncludes allegations of unwanted contacts with another inmate and any contacts with staff that involved oral, anal, and vaginal penetration, handjobs and other sexual acts.

Table 5. Local jails with the highest rates of injury, National Inmate Survey, 2007

Facility name	Percent injured	Standard error
Total	0.6%	< 0.0%
Riverside Co. Robert Presley Det. Ctr. (CA)	4.6	2.0
Garfield Co. Jail (CO)	4.0	1.7
San Diego Co. George F. Bailey Det. Fac. (CA)	3.6	1.4
Androscoggin Co. Jail (ME)	3.5	1.7
Kentucky River Reg. Jail (KY)	3.2	1.3
Erie Co. Holding Ctr. (NY)	3.2	1.3
Brevard Co. Det. Ctr. (FL)	3.1	1.4
Will Co. Adult Det. Fac. (IL)	2.7	1.2
Southeastern Ohio Reg. Jail (OH)	2.5	1.2
St. Tammany Parish Jail (LA)	2.4	1.1
Santa Barbara Co. Jail (CA)	2.3	1.1
Franklin Co. Jail (NY)	2.2	0.7
Harris Co. Jail - Baker Street (TX)	2.1	1.0
Richmond City Jail (VA)	2.1	1.0
St. Bernard Parish Prison (LA)	1.9	0.8
Western Reg. Jail (WV)	1.8	0.9
Jackson Co. Jail (AL)	1.3	0.5
La Fourche Parish Jail (LA)	1.3	0.5
Hamilton Co. Talbert House Drug and Alcohol Trt. Ctr. (OH)	1.0	0.5

Note: All other facilities had injury rates not statistically different from zero.

being knocked unconscious, 6% reported anal or rectal tearing, 6% internal injuries, 3% broken bones, and 2% knife or stab wounds.

Type of injury	All inmates	All victims
Any injury	0.6%	19.5%
Knife or stab wounds	0.1	2.1
Broken bones	0.1	3.3
Anal/rectal tearing	0.2	6.3
Teeth chipped/knocked out	0.3	8.9
Internal injuries	0.2	6.3
Knocked unconscious	0.2	7.8
Bruises, cuts, scratches	0.5	15.8
Number of inmates	772,800	24,700

Rates of sexual victimization were unrelated to basic facility characteristics

Data collected in the *2005 Census of Jail Inmates* and the *2006 Census of Jail Facilities* were analyzed in conjunction with the NIS data to determine whether any facility characteristics were associated with higher rates of sexual victimization (table 6). An initial examination of selected facility characteristics revealed few measurable differences at the 95% level of statistical confidence.

- Inmates in long-term facilities (those with the authority to house inmates convicted of felonies with sentences of more than a year) had an overall sexual victimization rate (3.4%) that was similar to the rates reported by inmates in short-term facilities (3.5%) and in detention-only facilities (3.0%).

- Victimization rates in female-only facilities were the highest (5.0%), largely due to incidents of inmate-on-inmate sexual victimization (3.9%). Women in coed facilities had similar rates (5.0%). Therefore, the rate appears to reflect higher overall rates reported by women, regardless of the type of facility (not shown in a table).

- Sexual victimization was reported at slightly lower levels (2.1%) in small facilities (those holding fewer than 100 inmates). Because of the small number of inmates in these facilities, comparisons with other facilities were not statistically significant.

- Though crowding is often assumed to be linked to prison violence, the highest rates of sexual victimization (3.7%) were reported in facilities that were the least crowded (operating at less than 90% of capacity). As with other comparisons, these differences were not statistically significant.

Table 6. Prevalence of inmate sexual victimization, by selected characteristics of jail facilities, National Inmate Survey, 2007

Facility characteristic	Number of inmates ^b	Percent of inmates reporting sexual victimization ^a			
		Total	Inmate-on-inmate	Staff-on-inmate	Nonconsensual sexual acts only ^c
Type of facility^d					
Detention only	36,358	3.0%	1.3%	2.2%	2.2%
Detention/short-term	159,634	3.5	1.9	1.9	2.2
Long-term	77,407	3.4	1.7	2.0	2.1
Gender housed					
Males only	62,093	3.3%	1.5%	2.1%	2.2%
Females only	2,487	5.0	3.9	1.9	2.0
Both males and females	208,762	3.4	1.9	1.9	2.2
Size of facility^e					
Less than 100	1,351	2.1%	1.4%	0.9%	1.1%
100-249	6,495	3.6	1.7	2.4	2.4
250-499	14,348	2.8	1.5	1.6	1.7
500-999	50,943	3.3	1.7	2.1	2.2
1,000-1,999	99,197	3.1	1.6	1.8	2.0
2,000 or more	101,065	3.9	2.1	2.1	2.4
Percent of capacity occupied^f					
Less than 90%	70,517	3.7%	2.0%	2.0%	2.2%
90-100	87,678	3.2	1.6	1.9	2.1
101-110	53,660	3.3	1.8	1.8	2.2
111% or greater	61,544	3.4	1.7	2.1	2.2
Time since last renovation^g					
5 years or less	85,585	3.2%	1.6%	2.0%	2.2%
6-10	53,004	3.5	1.7	2.1	2.3
11-20	89,831	3.6	1.9	1.9	2.2
21 years or more	44,979	3.3	1.9	1.9	2.0

Note: Characteristics of jail facilities were drawn from the *2005 Census of Jail Inmates* and the *2006 Census of Jail Facilities*, conducted by BJS. Missing data from the BJS censuses were obtained from the 2005 - 2007 National Jail and Adult Detention Directory, published by the American Correctional Association.

^aPercent of inmates reporting one or more incidents of sexual victimization involving another inmate or facility staff in the past 6 months or since admission to the facility, if less than 6 months.

^bNumber of inmates held in each type of facility on the day of the roster plus any new inmates admitted prior to the first day of data collection.

^cIncludes allegations of unwanted oral, anal, and vaginal penetration, handjobs, and other sexual acts with other inmates and staff.

^dDetention facilities have authority to hold persons facing charges beyond 72 hours; short-term facilities hold persons convicted of offenses with sentences usually of a year or less; long-term facilities hold persons convicted of felonies with sentences of more than 1 year.

^eFacility size is based on the rated capacity (i.e., the maximum number of beds or inmates assigned by a rating official).

^fBased on the number of persons held on March 31, 2006, divided by the rated capacity times 100%.

^gBased on the year of most recent major renovation or the year of original construction, if never renovated.

- Inmates in facilities that had opened or been renovated in the last 5 years reported lower rates of sexual victimization (3.2%) than inmates in other facilities. Again, differences in these rates were not statistically significant.

Rates of sexual victimization were more strongly related to inmate characteristics than to facility characteristics

Rates of sexual assault among inmates varied across demographic categories:

- Female inmates were more likely than male inmates to report a sexual victimization (table 7). An estimated 5.1% of female inmates, compared to 2.9% of male inmates, said they had experienced one or more incidents of sexual victimization.
- Persons of two or more races reported higher rates of sexual assault in jails (4.2%), compared to white (2.9%), black (3.2%), and Hispanic inmates (3.2%).
- About 4.6% of inmates ages 18 to 24 reported being sexually assaulted, compared to 2.4% of inmates age 25 and older.
- Inmates with a college education reported higher rates of sexual assault (4.6%) than inmates with less than a high school degree (2.8%).

The largest differences in sexual victimization rates were found among inmates based on their sexual preference and past sexual experiences:

- Inmates with a sexual orientation other than heterosexual reported significantly higher rates of sexual victimization. An estimated 2.7% of heterosexual inmates alleged an incident, compared to 18.5% of homosexual inmates, and 9.8% of bisexual inmates or inmates indicating "other" as an orientation.
- Inmates with 21 or more sexual partners prior to admission reported the highest rates of victimization (4.1%); inmates with 1 or no prior sexual partners reported the lowest rates (2.4%).

- Inmates who had experienced a prior sexual assault were about 6 times more likely to report a sexual victimization in jail (11.8%), compared to those with no sexual assault history (1.9%).

- Among inmates who reported having been sexually assaulted at another prison or jail in the past, a third reported having been sexually victimized at the current facility.

Table 7. Prevalence of inmate sexual victimization, by selected characteristics of jail inmates, National Inmate Survey, 2007

Inmate characteristic	Number of inmates ^b	Percent of inmates reporting sexual victimization ^a			
		Total	Inmate-on-inmate	Staff-on-inmate	Nonconsensual sexual acts only
Gender					
Male	678,500	2.9%	1.3%	2.0%	2.0%
Female	94,300	5.1	3.7	2.0	2.4
Race/Hispanic origin					
White ^c	273,900	2.9%	1.8%	1.5%	1.7%
Black ^c	282,400	3.2	1.3	2.1	2.1
Hispanic	141,400	3.2	1.5	2.0	2.2
Other ^{c,d}	18,200	4.1	1.6	2.9	2.4
Two or more races ^c	51,500	4.2	2.1	2.6	2.8
Age					
18-19	52,600	4.7%	1.8%	3.4%	3.6%
20-24	156,500	4.5	2.3	2.8	2.9
25-34	245,600	3.1	1.6	1.9	2.0
35-44	186,100	2.7	1.3	1.7	1.7
45-54	107,100	1.8	0.9	1.1	1.2
55 or older	24,900	2.2	1.6	0.7	1.6
Education					
Less than high school	287,800	2.8%	1.5%	1.6%	1.8%
High school graduate	282,500	3.1	1.3	2.2	2.2
Some college ^e	175,100	3.7	2.0	2.0	2.1
College degree or more	22,500	4.6	2.4	2.9	2.9
Sexual orientation					
Heterosexual	702,800	2.7%	1.1%	1.7%	1.7%
Bi-sexual	28,700	9.8	6.4	5.3	6.6
Homosexual	9,900	18.5	13.7	7.1	13.2
Other	10,300	9.8	5.8	6.5	7.6
Number of prior sexual partners					
0-1	127,100	2.4%	1.2%	1.3%	1.6%
2-4	121,600	2.7	1.4	1.7	1.7
5-10	145,000	3.0	1.7	1.7	1.8
11-20	118,200	3.2	1.6	1.8	1.9
21 or more	230,600	4.1	1.8	2.8	2.9
Prior sexual assault					
Yes	102,600	11.8%	8.0%	5.5%	6.9%
No	666,100	1.9	0.6	1.4	1.3
Sexually assaulted at another facility					
Yes	11,800	33.0%	25.9%	13.9%	21.1%
No	756,900	2.7	1.2	1.8	1.8

^aInmates reporting one or more incidents of sexual victimization involving another inmate or facility staff in the past 6 months or since admission to the facility, if less than 6 months.

^bEstimated number of jail inmates at midyear 2007, excluding inmates under age 18 and inmates held in jails with an average daily population of five inmates or fewer.

^cExcludes persons of Hispanic or Latino origin.

^dIncludes American Indians, Alaska Natives, Asians, Native Hawaiians, and other Pacific Islanders.

^eIncludes persons with associate degrees.

Inmate-on-inmate victimization occurred most often in the victim's cell; staff-on-inmate victimization occurred in a closet, office, or other locked room

Circumstances varied between inmate-on-inmate and staff-on-inmate incidents. An estimated 48% of inmate-on-inmate incidents occurred between 6 p.m. and midnight, while 47% of staff-on-inmate incidents occurred from midnight to 6 a.m. (table 8). Over half of inmate-on-inmate victimizations took place in the victim's cell or room (56%), while a closet, office, or other locked room was the most common location for staff-on-inmate victimizations (47%).

Inmate-on-inmate sexual assault victims most often reported being threatened with harm or a weapon (44%) or "persuaded or talked into it" (41%). Staff-on-inmate sexual assault victims were most often "given a bribe or blackmailed" (52%). Two-thirds (67%) of inmate-on-inmate incidents involved one perpetrator, compared to 80% of staff-on-inmate incidents.

About half of the victims of inmate-on-inmate sexual assault said the most serious incidents (nonconsensual sexual acts) had occurred only once. One in 7 victims said they had been a victim of a nonconsensual sexual act 11 times or more. Among victims of staff-on-inmate sexual misconduct, 34% said they had unwilling sexual contact once; 15% reported 11 times or more.

One in 4 victims of an inmate-on-inmate assault told someone else within or outside the facility about the incident; about 1 in 7 victims of staff-on-inmate incidents said they reported the incident to someone.

	Percent of staff-on-inmate sexual victimizations, by gender of inmate and staff		
	All incidents	Unwilling activity	Willing activity
Male inmates			
Female staff	61.5%	47.7%	78.7%
Male staff	14.4	20.4	5.0
Both male and female	13.1	17.9	8.8
Female inmates			
Female staff	1.7%	1.8%	1.8%
Male staff	7.7	10.2	5.0
Both male and female	1.5	1.9	0.8

Nearly 62% of all reported incidents of staff sexual misconduct involved female staff with male inmates; 8% involved male staff with female inmates. Female staff were involved in 48% of incidents reported by male inmates who said they were unwilling and in 79% of incidents with male inmates who said they were willing. In an effort to better understand the allegations of staff sexual misconduct, the 2008 NIS will include questions to determine how often sexual contact reported as unwilling occurred in the course of pat downs or strip searches.

Table 8. Circumstances surrounding incidents of inmate sexual victimization in local jails, National Inmate Survey, 2007

Circumstance	Inmate-on-inmate		Staff-on-inmate	
	All incidents	Nonconsensual sexual acts	All incidents	Unwilling activity
Number of victims	12,100	5,200	15,200	10,400
Time of day^a				
6 a.m. to noon	24.1%	32.4%	28.3%	32.2%
Noon to 6 p.m.	30.4	35.7	24.3	28.2
6 p.m. to midnight	48.4	50.8	28.0	32.4
Midnight to 6 p.m.	35.5	46.6	47.0	44.1
Where occurred^a				
Victim's cell/room	56.3%	63.7%	30.3%	30.0%
Another inmate's cell/room	37.2	50.0	14.5	17.3
Shower/bathroom	19.4	29.4	22.7	24.6
Yard/recreation area	14.2	14.7	9.2	10.3
Closet, office or other locked room	10.0	16.7	47.0	47.4
Workshop/kitchen	8.0	11.4	26.6	29.7
Classroom/library	5.6	9.0	20.5	24.9
Elsewhere in facility	5.9	3.7	5.4	5.6
Off facility grounds	6.8	10.8	14.4	15.3
Type of coercion^a				
Persuaded/talked into it	40.6%	56.3%	35.2%	42.0%
Given bribe/blackmailed	34.1	52.4	52.3	60.8
Given drugs/alcohol	16.7	29.1	24.7	32.6
Offered protection from other inmates	26.3	41.0	22.1	29.8
Threatened with harm or a weapon	43.7	54.3	24.6	32.1
Physically held down or restrained	34.1	41.8	15.0	18.7
Physically harmed/injured	25.6	32.5	11.4	14.3
Number of perpetrators				
One	66.8%	57.8%	79.6%	73.4%
More than one	33.2	42.2	20.4	26.6
Number of times				
1	:	50.8%	:	34.3%
2	:	13.8	:	24.4
3 to 10	:	21.3	:	26.3
11 or more	:	14.1	:	15.0
Reported at least one incident^b				
Yes	23.9%	33.0%	14.4%	20.2%
No	76.1	67.0	85.6	79.8

: Not calculated.

^aDetail may sum to more than 100% because multiple responses were allowed for each item.

^bIndicated at least one incident was reported to facility staff (line staff, medical or mental health staff, teacher, counselor, volunteer, or chaplain), another inmate, or a family member or friend.

Methodology

The National Inmate Survey (NIS) was conducted in 282 local jails between April and December 2007, by RTI International under a cooperative agreement with the Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS). The NIS comprised two questionnaires—a survey of sexual victimization and a survey of past drug and alcohol use and abuse. Inmates were randomly assigned one of the questionnaires so that, at the time of the interview, the content of the survey remained unknown to facility staff and the survey interviewers.

The interviews, which averaged 26 minutes in length, used computer-assisted personal interviewing (CAPI) and audio computer-assisted self interviewing (ACASI) collection methods. For approximately the first five minutes, survey interviewers conducted a personal interview using CAPI to obtain background data, date of admission, conviction status, and current offense. For the remainder of the interview, respondents interacted with a computer-administered questionnaire using a touch-screen and synchronized audio instructions delivered through headphones. Respondents completed the ACASI portion of the interview in private, with the interviewer either leaving the room or moving away from the computer.

A shorter paper questionnaire was available for inmates who were unable to come to the private interviewing room. The paper form was completed by 223 inmates (0.6% of all sexual violence interviews), primarily those housed in administrative or disciplinary segregation or considered too violent to be interviewed.

Before the interview, inmates were informed verbally and in writing that participation was voluntary and that all information provided would be held in confidence. Interviews were conducted in English (94%) or Spanish (6%).

Selection of local jail facilities

A sample of 303 local jails was drawn to produce a 10% sample of the 3,002 local jail facilities identified in the *2005 Census of Jail Inmates*. The 2005 census was a complete enumeration of all jail jurisdictions, including all publicly operated and privately operated facilities under contract to local jail authorities. The 2007 NIS was restricted to jails that had more than five inmates on June 30, 2005. Based on estimates from the *2007 Annual Survey of Jails*, these jails held an estimated 772,800 inmates age 18 or older on June 29, 2007.

Local jail facilities were systematically sampled to ensure that at least one jail was selected in each state, except in Alaska (with 14 facilities operated by local municipalities) and in Connecticut, Delaware, Hawaii, Rhode Island, and Vermont, in which there were no jails. In these states, facilities with jail functions were state-operated and were included in the 2007 NIS prison collection.

All jail facilities were selected in a three-step process. First, jails on the sampling frame were sorted by region and

state. Jails in six states were determined to lack a sufficient total number of inmates statewide to meet the one facility-per-state requirement. These facilities were grouped to form separate strata. One facility from each stratum was selected with probability proportionate to size. Overall, six jails in these small states were selected.

Second, 294 jails in the remaining 44 large states and the District of Columbia were selected. Thirty-two were selected with certainty, in that their large population yielded a probability of selection equal to 1.0. After ordering the remaining facilities by region and state, 262 facilities were selected based on their size relative to the total number of inmates in all noncertainty facilities.

Third, two of the selected jails were determined to be multi-facility jail jurisdictions (New York City and Cook County, IL). Initial size measures for these jurisdictions included all facilities. As a result, jail facilities in these jurisdictions were enumerated and then sampled—three in New York City and two in Cook County—with probabilities proportionate to the number of inmates in the facility relative to the total reported for the jurisdiction.

Of the 303 selected jails, 21 facilities were excluded from the survey (table 9). Five facilities refused to participate in the survey. Eight facilities were determined to be ineligible, because more than 90% of inmates in each were pre-arraigned or held for Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) or the U.S. Marshals Service or because the

Table 9. Sampled jail facilities excluded from the survey, National Inmate Survey, 2007

5 facilities refused to participate in the survey:

Decatur Co. Prison (GA)
Jefferson Parish Corr. Fac. (LA)
Mississippi Co. Jail (MO)
Mobile Co. Jail (AL)
Rutherford Co. Adult Det. Ctr. (TN)

8 facilities were determined to be ineligible:

Baltimore City Central Booking & Intake Ctr. (MD)^a
Broward Co. Work Rel. Ctr. (FL)^b
Leavenworth Det. Ctr. (KS)^b
Los Angeles Co. Mira Loma Fac. (CA)^b
Onondaga Co. Jail (NY)^a
Sedgwick Co. Work Rel. Ctr. (KS)^c
Val Verde Co. Jail & Corr. Fac. (TX)^b
Ventura Co. East Valley Branch Jail (CA)^a

8 facilities will be in the 2008 sample with certainty:^d

Columbia Co. Det. Ctr. (FL)
Dauphin Co. Prison (PA)
Henderson Co. Jail (TX)
Jackson Co. Jail (MS)
Merced Co. Jail (CA)
Philadelphia City Det. Ctr. & Health Serv. Unit (PA)
Rutherford Co. Jail (NC)
Salt Lake Co. Jail (UT)

^aMore than 90% of inmates were pre-arraigned.

^bMore than 90% of inmates held for ICE or U.S. Marshals.

^cCommunity-based facility.

^dUnable to participate due to lack of space, staffing, or jail renovation/expansion; will be surveyed in 2008, when logistical issues are resolved.

facility was a community-based facility. (The 2008 NIS will include all inmates held for ICE and U.S. Marshals Service.) Eight facilities were unable to participate due to lack of space or staffing or because the jail was being renovated. All expect to be included in the 2008 NIS. All other selected jails participated fully in the survey.

Selection of inmates

The number of inmates sampled in each facility varied based on 5 criteria:

- an expected prevalence rate of sexual victimization of 4%
- a desired level of precision based on a standard error of 1.75%
- a projected 70% response rate among selected inmates
- a 10% chance among participating inmates of not receiving the sexual victimization questionnaire
- a pre-arraignment adjustment factor equal to 1 in facilities where the status was known for all inmates, and less than 1 in facilities where only the overall proportion of prearrested was known.

An initial roster of inmates was obtained in the week prior to the start of interviewing at each facility. Inmates under age 18 and inmates who had not been arraigned were deleted from the roster. Each eligible inmate was assigned a random number and sorted in ascending order. Inmates were selected from the list up to the expected number of inmates determined by the sampling criteria.

Due to the dynamic nature of jail populations, a second roster of inmates was obtained on the first day of data collection. Eligible inmates on the second roster who were not on the initial roster were identified. These inmates had either been arraigned since the initial roster was created or were newly admitted to the facility and arraigned. A random sample of these new inmates was selected using the same probability of selection derived from the first roster.

A total of 74,713 inmates were selected. (See appendix table 1 for the number of inmates sampled in each facility.) After selection, an additional 7,314 ineligible inmates were excluded — 6,549 were transferred to another facility before interviewing began, 676 were mentally or physically unable to be interviewed, and 89 were under age 18.

Overall, 45,414 inmates participated in the survey, yielding a response rate of 67%. Approximately 90% of the participating inmates (40,419) received the sexual assault survey. Of all selected inmates, 18% refused to participate in the survey; 4% were not available to be interviewed (e.g., in court, in medical segregation, determined by the facility to be too violent to be interviewed, or restricted from participation by another legal jurisdiction); and 11% were not interviewed due to survey logistics (e.g., language barriers and transfers to another facility after interviewing began).

Weighting and non-response adjustments

Responses from sampled interviewed inmates were weighted to provide national-level and facility-level estimates. Each interviewed inmate was assigned an initial weight corresponding to the inverse of the probability of selection within each sampled facility. A series of adjustment factors were applied to the initial weight to minimize potential bias due to non-response and to provide national estimates.

Bias occurs when the estimated prevalence is different from the actual prevalence for a given facility. In each facility, bias could result if the random sample of inmates did not accurately represent the facility population. Bias could also result if the non-respondents were different from the respondents. Post-stratification and non-response adjustments were made to the data to compensate for these two possibilities. These adjustments included:

- calibration of the weights of the responding inmates within each facility so that the estimates accurately reflected the facility's entire population in terms of known demographic characteristics. (These characteristics included distributions by inmate age, gender, race, date of admission, and sentence length.) This adjustment ensures that the estimates accurately reflect the entire population of the facility and not just the inmates who were randomly sampled.
- calibration of the weights so that the weight from a non-responding inmate is assigned to a responding inmate with similar demographic characteristics. This adjustment ensures that the estimates accurately reflect the full sample, rather than only the inmates who responded.

For each inmate, these adjustments were based on a generalized exponential model, developed by Folsom and Singh, and applied to the sexual assault survey respondents.²

A final ratio adjustment to each inmate weight was made to provide national-level estimates for the total number of inmates held in jails with an average daily population of more than six inmates at midyear 2007. These ratios represented the estimated number of inmates by gender in the survey estimates and accuracy of the *2007 Annual Survey of Jails* divided by the number of inmates by gender in the 2007 NIS after calibration for sampling and non-response.

Survey estimates and accuracy

Survey estimates are subject to sampling error arising from the fact that the estimates are based on a sample rather than a complete enumeration. Within each facility, the estimated sampling error varies by the size of the estimate, the number of completed interviews, and the size of the facility.

²R.E. Folsom, Jr., and A.C. Singh, (2002), "The Generalized Exponential Model for Sampling Weight Calibration for Extreme Values, Nonresponse, and Poststratification," *Proceedings of the American Statistical Association, Section on Survey Research Methods*, 598-603.

Estimates of the standard errors for selected measures of sexual victimization are presented in tables 10 and 11 and in appendix tables 2 through 5.

These standard errors may be used to construct confidence intervals around survey estimates (that is, numbers, percents, and rates), as well as around differences in these estimates.

For example, the 95% confidence interval around the percent of inmates reporting sexual victimization in the Torrance County Detention Facility (New Mexico) is approximately 13.4% plus or minus 1.96 times 4.1% (or 5.4% to 21.4%). Based on similarly constructed samples, 95% of the intervals would be expected to contain the true (but unknown) percentage.

The standard errors may also be used to construct confidence intervals around differences between facility estimates. For example, the 95% confidence interval comparing the percent of inmates reporting sexual victimization in the Riverside County Robert Presley Detention Center (California), 6.4%, with the Torrance County Detention Facility (New Mexico), 13.4%, may be calculated. The confidence interval around the difference of 7.0% is approximately 1.96 times 4.7% (the square root of the pooled variance estimate, 21.7%). The pooled variance estimate is calculated by taking the square root of the sum of each standard error squared, i.e., the square root of $(2.2^2) + (4.1^2)$. Since the interval (-2.2% to 16.2%) contains zero, the difference between the Riverside County facility and the Torrance County facility is not statistically significant.

Exposure period

For purposes of calculating comparative rates of sexual victimization, respondents were asked to provide the most recent date of admission to the current facility. If the date of admission was at least 6 months prior to the date of the interview, inmates were asked questions related to their experiences during the past 6 months. If the admission date was less than 6 months prior to the interview, inmates were asked about their experiences since they had arrived at the facility.

Overall, the average exposure period for sexual victimization among sampled jail inmates was 2.6 months. Among sampled inmates, approximately 20% had been in jail for 2 weeks or less; 15% between 2 weeks and a month; 17% between 1 and 2 months; 30% between 2 and 6 months; and 18% more than 6 months.

Measuring sexual victimization

The survey of sexual victimization relied on the reporting of the direct experience of each inmate, rather than on the reporting on the experience of other inmates. Questions asked related to inmate-on-inmate sexual activity were asked separately from questions related to staff sexual misconduct. (For specific survey questions see appendices 7 and 8.)

Table 10. Standard errors for the prevalence of inmate sexual victimization for characteristics of jail inmates, National Inmate Survey, 2007

Inmate characteristic	Percent of inmates reporting sexual victimization ^a			
	Total	Inmate-on-inmate	Staff-on-inmate	Nonconsensual sexual acts
Gender				
Male	0.11%	0.08%	0.09%	0.09%
Female	0.36	0.42	0.23	0.22
Race/Hispanic origin				
White ^b	0.24%	0.16%	0.16%	0.16%
Black ^b	0.19	0.10	0.15	0.13
Hispanic	0.33	0.16	0.31	0.31
Other ^{b,c}	0.74	0.55	0.57	0.60
Two or more races ^b	0.57	0.32	0.48	0.49
Age				
18-19	0.67%	0.34%	0.57%	0.59%
20-24	0.52	0.24	0.37	0.36
25-34	0.27	0.16	0.19	0.20
35-44	0.22	0.15	0.18	0.14
45-54	0.19	0.15	0.18	0.19
55 or older	0.52	0.43	0.29	0.46
Education				
Less than high school	0.16%	0.14%	0.11%	0.12%
High school graduate	0.24	0.12	0.25	0.24
Some college ^d	0.26	0.17	0.27	0.29
College degree or more	0.73	0.57	0.53	0.53
Sexual orientation				
Heterosexual	0.11%	0.07%	0.08%	0.08%
Bi-sexual	0.96	0.74	0.72	0.79
Homosexual	1.85	1.90	2.09	2.03
Other	1.49	1.05	1.30	1.37
Number of prior sexual partners				
0-1	0.22%	0.17%	0.18%	0.19%
2-4	0.27	0.18	0.26	0.20
5-10	0.30	0.20	0.20	0.20
11-20	0.45	0.28	0.23	0.23
21 or more	0.26	0.19	0.19	0.19
Prior sexual assault				
Yes	0.55%	0.49%	0.38%	0.49%
No	0.09	0.06	0.09	0.08
Sexually assaulted at another facility				
Yes	2.64%	2.88%	1.71%	2.08%
No	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.09

^aPercent of inmates reporting one or more incidents of sexual victimization involving another inmate or facility staff in the past 6 months or since admission to the facility, if less than 6 months.

^bExcludes persons of Hispanic or Latino origin.

^cIncludes American Indians, Alaska Natives, Asians, Native Hawaiians, and other Pacific Islanders.

^dIncludes persons with associate degrees.

The ACASI survey began with a series of questions that screened for specific sexual activities, without restriction, including both wanted and unwanted sex or sexual contacts with other inmates. As a means to fully measure all sexual activities, questions related to the touching of body parts in a sexual way were followed by questions related to explicit giving or receiving of sexual gratification and questions related to acts involving oral, anal, or vaginal sex. The nature of coercion (including use of physical force, pressure, or other forms of coercion) was measured for each type of reported sexual activity.

ACASI survey items related to staff sexual misconduct were asked in a different order. Inmates were first asked about being pressured or being made to feel they had to have sex or sexual contact with the staff and then asked about being physically forced. In addition, inmates were asked if any facility staff had offered favors or special privileges in exchange for sex. Finally, inmates were asked if they willingly had sex or sexual contact with staff. All reports of sex or sexual contact between an inmate and facility staff, regardless of the level of coercion, were classified as staff sexual misconduct.

The ACASI survey included additional questions related to both inmate-on-inmate and staff-on-inmate sexual victimization. These questions, known as *latent class measures*, were included to assess the reliability of the survey questionnaire. After being asked detailed questions, all inmates were asked a series of general questions to determine if they had experienced any type of unwanted sex or sexual contact with another inmate or had any sex or sexual contact with staff. (See appendix 9.)

The entire ACASI questionnaire (listed as National Inmate Survey) and the shorter paper and pencil survey form (PAPI) are available on the BJS web site at <<http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov/bjs/correct.htm#Programs>>.

Definition of terms

Sexual victimization — all types of sexual activity, e.g., oral, anal, or vaginal penetration; handjobs; touching of the inmate's buttocks, thighs, penis, breasts, or vagina in a sexual way; abusive sexual contacts; and both willing and unwilling sexual activity with staff.

Nonconsensual sexual acts — unwanted contacts with another inmate or any contacts with staff that involved oral, anal, vaginal penetration, handjobs, and other sexual acts.

Abusive sexual contacts only — unwanted contacts with another inmate or any contacts with staff that involved touching of the inmate's buttocks, thigh, penis, breasts, or vagina in a sexual way.

Unwilling activity — incidents of unwanted sexual contacts with another inmate or staff.

Willing activity — incidents of willing sexual contacts with staff. These contacts are characterized by the reporting inmates as willing; however, all sexual contacts between inmates and staff are legally nonconsensual.

Table 11. Standard errors for circumstances surrounding incidents of sexual victimization in local jails, by type of incident, National Inmate Survey, 2007

Circumstance	Inmate-on-inmate		Staff-on-inmate	
	All incidents	Nonconsensual sexual acts	All incidents	Unwilling activity
Number of victims	12,100	5,200	15,200	10,400
Time of day				
6 a.m. to noon	2.09%	2.99%	2.78%	3.32%
Noon to 6 p.m.	2.31	3.45	1.64	2.30
6 p.m. to midnight	2.75	3.29	1.76	2.05
Midnight to 6 p.m.	3.00	3.21	2.50	4.20
Where occurred				
Victim's cell/room	2.69%	2.95%	1.77%	3.07%
Another inmate's cell/room	2.42	3.35	1.71	2.52
Shower/bathroom	1.88	3.01	2.35	2.82
Yard/recreation area	1.67	2.38	1.47	1.65
Closet, office, or other locked room	1.32	2.44	2.58	2.61
Workshop/kitchen	1.21	2.14	1.79	2.41
Classroom/library	1.01	1.93	1.63	2.35
Elsewhere in facility	1.13	1.18	1.05	1.10
Off facility grounds	1.11	2.04	1.71	1.99
Type of coercion				
Persuaded/talked into it	2.58%	3.28%	2.23%	2.34%
Given a bribe/blackmailed	2.48	3.33	2.70	2.61
Given drugs/alcohol	1.71	3.17	1.70	2.32
Offered protection from other inmates	2.17	3.04	1.67	2.38
Threatened with harm or a weapon	2.93	3.51	2.57	3.37
Physically held down or restrained	3.07	3.59	1.87	2.49
Physically harmed/injured	3.30	3.16	1.57	2.15
Number of perpetrators				
More than one	3.09%	3.12%	2.25%	2.88%
Number of times				
1	:	3.37%	:	2.99%
2	:	2.32	:	2.85
3 to 10	:	2.79	:	2.36
11 or more	:	2.95	:	2.10
Reported at least one incident*				
Yes	2.05%	3.17%	1.89%	2.60%

: Not calculated.

*Indicated at least one incident was reported to facility staff (line staff, medical or mental health staff, teacher, counselor, volunteer, or chaplain), another inmate, or a family member or friend.

U.S. Department of Justice
Office of Justice Programs
Bureau of Justice Statistics



PRESORTED STANDARD
POSTAGE & FEES PAID
DOJ/BJJS
Permit No. G-91

Washington, DC 20531

Official Business
Penalty for Private Use \$300

The Bureau of Justice Statistics is the statistical agency of the U.S. Department of Justice. Michael D. Sinclair is the Acting Director.

Allen J. Beck and Paige M. Harrison wrote this report. The statistical unit of RTI, under Marcus Berzofsky, produced the appendix tables. Allen J. Beck, Paige M. Harrison, Paul Guerino, and RTI staff provided statistical review and verification. Tina Dorsey produced the report, Catherine Bird edited it, and Jayne Robinson prepared the report for publication, under the supervision of Doris J. James.

Paige M. Harrison, under the supervision of Allen J. Beck, was project manager for the National Inmate Survey. RTI, International staff, under a cooperative agreement and in collaboration with BJS, designed the survey, developed the questionnaires, and monitored data collection and data processing, including Rachel Caspar, Principal Investigator/Instrumentation Task Leader; Christopher Krebs, Co-principal Investigator; Ellen Stutts, Co-principal Investigator and Data Collection Task Leader; Susan Brumbaugh, Logistics Task Leader; Jamia Bachrach, Human Subjects Task Leader; David Forvendel, Research Computing Task Leader; Ralph Folsom, Senior Statistician; and Marcus Berzofsky, Statistics Task Leader.

June 2008 NCJ 221946

This report in portable document format and in ASCII and its related statistical data and tables are available at the BJS World Wide Web Internet site: <<http://bjs.ojp.usdoj.gov/index.cfm?ty=pbdetail&iid=1148>>.

Office of Justice Programs

Innovation • Partnerships • Safer Neighborhoods
<http://www.ojp.usdoj.gov>

Appendix table 1. Characteristics of local jails selected in the National Inmate Survey, 2007

Facility name	Number of inmates in custody ^a	Number of inmates sampled	Number of ineligible inmates ^b	Number of respondents		
				Total	Sexual victimization survey	Response rate ^c
Total	306,598	74,713	7,314	45,414	40,419	67.4%
Alabama						
Anniston City Jail	67	67	24	30	26	69.8
Bullock Co. Jail	33	30	3	11	9	40.7
Etowah Co. Det. Ctr.	590	265	2	205	185	77.9
Jackson Co. Jail	187	164	15	113	102	75.8
Limestone Co. Jail	220	179	22	105	96	66.9
Shelby Co. Jail	509	252	30	149	134	67.1
Arizona						
Coconino Co. Jail	596	278	32	150	134	61.0
Maricopa Co. Jail - 4th Avenue	2,009	323	15	227	201	73.7
Maricopa Co. Jail - Durango	2,366	345	42	259	232	85.5
Maricopa Co. Jail - Estrella ^d	1,160	315	41	199	179	72.6
Maricopa Co. Jail - Lower Buckeye	2,446	343	29	231	203	73.6
Pinal Co. Jail	1,100	330	2	205	182	62.5
Arkansas						
Searcy Co. Jail	11	11	0	8	8	72.7
California						
Alameda Co. Santa Rita Jail	4,183	358	45	184	161	58.8
Fresno Co. Det. Fac. - Main Jail	1,005	315	29	149	130	52.1
Imperial Co. Jail	569	276	52	156	134	69.6
Kern Co. Lerdo Pre-Trial Fac.	1,322	322	30	206	183	70.5
Los Angeles Co. Mens Central Jail	5,847	429	63	158	132	43.2
Los Angeles Co. North Corr. Fac.	4,307	363	31	200	174	60.2
Los Angeles Co. Pitchess Honor Rancho Jail - North	1,681	321	16	204	183	66.9
Los Angeles Co. Twin Towers Corr. Fac.	4,118	389	135	108	95	42.5
Orange Co. Central Jail Complex	2,701	347	67	216	196	77.1
Orange Co. James A. Musick Fac.	1,186	326	19	264	240	86.0
Riverside Co. Larry D. Smith Corr. Ctr.	595	256	17	188	168	78.7
Riverside Co. Robert Presley Det. Ctr.	734	278	30	164	141	66.1
Sacramento Co. Rio Cosumnes Corr. Ctr.	2,384	341	26	205	186	65.1
Sacramento Co. Main Jail	2,340	349	49	221	200	73.7
San Bernardino Co. W. Valley Det. Ctr.	2,997	348	42	156	135	51.0
San Bernardino Co. Glen Helen Rehab. Ctr.	1,185	297	18	225	208	80.6
San Bernardino Co. Central Det. Ctr.	942	312	12	241	216	80.3
San Diego Co. George F. Bailey Det. Fac.	1,724	322	24	214	195	71.8
San Diego Co. Las Colinas Women's Det. Fac. ^d	735	267	21	177	162	72.0
San Francisco Co. Jail - No. 1	479	287	43	136	119	55.7
San Joaquin Co. Jail	1,752	335	46	203	182	70.2
Santa Barbara Co. Jail	1,068	317	26	218	183	74.9
Santa Clara Co. Elmwood Corr. Complex	4,943	340	92	170	148	68.5
Santa Clara Co. Main Jail - North	845	267	16	161	143	64.1
Stanislaus Co. Public Safety Ctr.	746	271	14	179	165	69.6
Tulare Co. Men's Corr. Fac.	1,673	308	21	220	206	76.7
Ventura Co. Jail - Todd Road	847	283	10	202	183	74.0
Colorado						
Adams Co. Det. Fac.	1,469	304	38	190	177	71.4
Arapahoe Co. Jail	1,296	315	20	191	162	64.7
El Paso Co. Det. Fac.	1,704	379	62	233	200	73.5
Garfield Co. Jail	109	109	9	72	66	72.0
Prowers Co. Jail	31	31	9	20	19	90.9
Weld Co. Jail	523	266	30	180	159	76.3
District of Columbia						
D.C. Dept. of Corr. Jail	3,226	340	20	206	179	64.4

Appendix table 1. Characteristics of local jails selected in the National Inmate Survey, 2007 (cont.)

Facility name	Number of inmates in custody ^a	Number of inmates sampled	Number of ineligible inmates ^b	Number of respondents		
				Total	Sexual victimization survey	Response rate ^c
Florida						
Alachua Co. Jail	1,167	307	16	218	191	74.9
Brevard Co. Det. Ctr.	2,000	327	30	247	228	83.2
Broward Co. Conte Corr. Fac.	1,388	316	24	188	172	64.4
Broward Co. Main Jail	1,788	373	88	134	119	47.0
Broward Co. North Jail - Pompano Beach	1,092	312	26	175	161	61.2
Broward Co. Stockade	689	292	50	148	130	61.2
Collier Co. Jail	1,300	307	19	172	157	59.7
Dixie Co. Jail	106	105	8	65	56	67.0
Hillsborough Co. Falkenburg Road Jail	2,524	338	27	223	202	71.7
Hillsborough Co. Orient Road Jail	2,109	380	78	187	167	61.9
Jackson Co. Corr. Fac.	237	186	9	126	111	71.2
Jacksonville City Montgomery Corr. Ctr.	786	284	8	213	186	77.2
Lake Co. Jail	1,278	318	40	180	163	64.7
Lee Co. Jail	670	275	40	95	87	40.4
Marion Co. Jail	2,102	325	12	247	228	78.9
Miami-Dade Co. Metro West Det. Ctr.	2,905	336	16	203	183	63.4
Miami-Dade Co. Training & Treatment Ctr.	1,355	352	25	173	151	52.9
Miami-Dade Co. Turner Guilford Knight Corr. Ctr.	1,204	295	26	152	134	56.5
Orange Co. 33rd Street Corr. Ctr.	4,295	343	31	206	192	66.0
Orange Co. Work Release Ctr.	300	203	9	115	104	59.3
Pinellas Co. Central Division Fac.	824	307	48	174	152	67.2
Pinellas Co. South Fac. (Max. Sec.)	1,506	292	33	151	133	58.3
Sarasota North Co. Jail	1,120	294	8	178	156	62.2
Seminole Co. John E. Polk Corr. Fac.	1,235	319	31	200	169	69.4
South Co. Jail	1,444	294	3	177	157	60.8
St. Johns Co. Jail	579	266	18	197	173	79.4
Georgia						
Atlanta City Jail	731	432	53	157	145	41.4
Bartow Co. Jail	556	245	15	160	137	69.6
Carroll Co. Jail	520	253	24	186	162	81.2
Cobb Co. Sheriff's Office Jail & Prison Unit	2,973	341	28	244	221	78.0
Coweta Co. Jail	365	230	31	140	121	70.4
Crisp Co. Jail	169	154	37	90	79	76.9
Dekalb Co. Jail	3,365	354	22	236	215	71.1
Dooly Co. Jail	66	65	4	44	34	72.1
Dougherty Co. Jail	863	285	23	178	164	67.9
Floyd Co. Jail	730	280	26	188	173	74.0
Fulton Co. Jail	2,464	367	59	206	187	66.9
Gwinnett Co. Comprehensive Corr. Complex	521	246	7	178	163	74.5
Gwinnett Co. Jail	2,826	342	33	230	203	74.4
Muscogee Co. Jail	1,439	319	45	213	180	77.7
Paulding Co. Det. Ctr.	347	228	24	94	83	46.1
Pelham Municipal Jail	143	140	3	73	67	53.3
Richmond Co. Corr. Inst.	243	183	4	120	107	67.0
Idaho						
Bingham Co. Jail	134	134	29	51	45	48.6
Illinois						
Coles Co. Jail	97	94	0	78	70	83.0
Cook Co. Jail - Division 2	2,080	356	44	203	182	65.1
Cook Co. Jail - Division 11	1,593	329	40	210	180	72.7
Ogle Co. Jail	39	39	4	22	20	62.9
Pulaski Co. Tri-County Justice & Det. Ctr. ^e	200	200	0	17	15	8.5
Will Co. Adult Det. Fac.	991	364	100	192	172	72.7
Indiana						
Daviess Co. Jail	186	167	11	100	90	64.1
Hamilton Co. Jail	375	234	7	144	130	63.4
Harrison Co. Jail	147	147	3	76	71	52.8
Hendricks Co. Jail	300	211	24	102	88	54.5
Lake Co. Jail	959	291	19	183	165	67.3
Marion Co. Jail Intake Fac.	258	234	76	90	80	57.0
Wayne Co. Jail	370	224	18	154	131	74.8

Appendix table 1. Characteristics of local jails selected in the National Inmate Survey, 2007 (cont.)

Facility name	Number of inmates in custody ^a	Number of inmates sampled	Number of ineligible inmates ^b	Number of respondents		
				Total	Sexual victimization survey	Response rate ^c
Iowa						
Polk Co. Jail	1,150	302	189	83	74	73.5
Story Co. Jail	81	81	14	42	38	62.7
Kansas						
Atchison Co. Jail	77	77	0	44	39	57.1
Kentucky						
Boyd Co. Jail	280	206	23	118	107	64.5
Daviess Co. Det. Ctr.	681	282	27	202	178	79.2
Grant Co. Jail	360	216	8	134	119	64.4
Hardin Co. Det. Ctr.	616	263	9	203	179	79.9
Kentucky River Reg. Jail	266	197	23	111	92	63.8
Lexington-Fayette Co. Det. Ctr.	1,323	319	21	188	161	63.1
Louisville-Jefferson Co. Dept. of Corr.	1,960	333	34	179	155	59.9
Warren Co. Reg. Jail	537	255	21	143	120	61.1
Louisiana						
Ascension Parish Jail	297	202	14	152	137	80.9
Avoyelles Parish Bunkie Det. Ctr.	316	204	3	173	150	86.1
Caldwell Parish Jails (2 facilities)	566	252	7	227	210	92.7
Catahoula Corr. Ctr. ^e	796	385	28	311	272	87.1
East Baton Rouge Prison	1,638	313	18	240	202	81.4
Franklin Parish Jail	713	266	8	230	205	89.1
La Fourche Parish Jail	264	245	19	173	151	76.5
Lafayette Parish Corr. Center	998	286	15	232	206	85.6
Sabine Parish Det. Ctr.	115	115	5	82	76	74.5
St. Bernard Parish Prison	181	167	29	115	104	83.3
St. Tammany Parish Jail	977	298	30	206	174	76.9
Terrebonne Parish Jail	697	274	19	236	215	92.5
Maine						
Androscoggin Co. Jail	116	116	21	64	55	67.4
Maryland						
Anne Arundel Co. Dept. of Det. Fac.	1,197	308	23	187	172	65.6
Baltimore City Det. Ctr.	2,966	358	28	207	182	62.7
Cecil Co. Comm. Adult Rehab. Ctr.	49	49	5	33	32	75.0
Montgomery Co. Corr. Fac.	740	278	17	202	181	77.4
Washington Co. Det. Ctr.	425	238	19	154	142	70.3
Massachusetts						
Barnstable Co. Corr. Fac.	444	230	12	169	149	77.5
Berkshire Co. Jail & House of Corr.	363	216	3	185	159	86.9
Hampden Co. Western Massachusetts Corr. Alcohol Ctr.	184	160	5	131	117	84.5
Middlesex Co. House of Corr. - Billerica	1,245	289	43	161	151	65.4
Plymouth Co. Corr. Fac.	1,611	307	10	198	174	66.7
Worcester Co. Jail & House of Corr.	1,465	303	10	198	179	67.6
Michigan						
Bay Co. Jail	251	189	6	117	108	63.9
Kalamazoo Co. Jail	394	222	30	139	126	72.4
Kent Co. Corr. Fac.	1,401	303	20	228	199	80.6
Montmorency Co. Jail	37	37	9	25	22	89.3
Oakland Co. Jail	1,800	352	40	231	204	74.0
Ottawa Co. Jail	444	244	27	176	162	81.1
Wayne Co. Andrew C. Baird Det. Fac.	2,088	600	68	165	149	31.0
Wayne Co. William Dickerson Det. Fac.	1,219	376	87	177	153	61.2
Minnesota						
Hennepin Co. Adult Det. Ctr.	964	327	64	150	133	57.0
Koochiching Co. Law Enforcement Ctr.	20	20	6	14	9	100.0
Mississippi						
Madison Co. Jail	533	287	15	227	212	83.5
Tippah Co. Jail	38	38	3	29	26	82.9
Missouri						
Clay Co. Det. Ctr.	305	205	15	133	122	70.0
Jackson Co. Municipal Corr. Inst.	219	196	48	63	55	42.6
St. Louis Co. Jail	1,270	315	24	218	192	74.9
Wayne Co. Jail	16	8	1	6	6	85.7

Appendix table 1. Characteristics of local jails selected in the National Inmate Survey, 2007 (cont.)

Facility name	Number of inmates in custody ^a	Number of inmates sampled	Number of ineligible inmates ^b	Number of respondents		
				Total	Sexual victimization survey	Response rate ^c
Montana						
Cascade Co. Reg. Jail	391	233	5	136	120	59.6
Nebraska						
Douglas Dept. of Corr.	1,277	305	31	165	146	60.2
Nevada						
Clark Co. Det. Ctr.	3,259	368	38	204	180	61.8
Las Vegas City Det. Ctr.	1,172	383	61	175	156	54.3
Washoe Co. Det. Ctr.	1,284	382	52	264	233	80.0
New Hampshire						
Hillsborough Co. House of Corr.	575	260	16	158	146	64.8
New Jersey						
Atlantic Co. Gerard L. Gormley Justice Fac.	1,403	317	13	145	125	47.7
Camden Co. Corr. Fac.	1,798	324	24	240	213	80.0
Essex Co. Corr. Fac.	2,306	345	23	201	178	62.4
Hudson Co. Corr. Fac.	1,825	320	14	226	198	73.9
Mercer Co. Corr. Ctr.	920	450	36	209	186	50.5
Morris Co. Corr. Fac.	348	230	32	137	121	69.2
Union Co. Jail	1,000	294	26	182	163	67.9
New Mexico						
Bernalillo Co. Metropolitan Det. Ctr.	3,064	341	25	132	117	41.8
San Juan Co. Det. Ctr.	740	296	32	205	191	77.7
Santa Fe Co. Adult Corr. Fac.	597	264	19	171	147	69.8
Torrance Co. Det. Fac. ^e	241	185	8	71	67	40.1
New York						
Albany Co. Corr. Fac.	853	297	19	150	140	54.0
Erie Co. Corr. Fac.	1,072	326	26	214	196	71.3
Erie Co. Holding Ctr.	716	324	41	133	118	47.0
Franklin Co. Jail	114	110	7	89	81	86.4
New York City Anna M. Kross Ctr.	2,565	334	20	172	150	54.8
New York City Otis Bantum Corr. Ctr.	1,279	319	44	175	157	63.6
New York City Rose M. Singer Ctr. ^d	1,109	308	20	195	178	67.7
Oswego Co. Corr. Fac.	139	133	5	92	85	71.9
Westchester Co. Penitentiary	667	271	16	202	183	79.2
North Carolina						
Cabarrus Co. Jail	265	195	45	68	61	45.3
Chowan Co. Det. Fac.	37	32	4	16	15	57.1
Cleveland Co.	267	226	30	122	108	62.2
Mecklenburg Co. Jail	2,386	365	42	217	192	67.2
Mecklenburg Co. Jail - North	737	276	14	161	139	61.5
New Hanover Co. Det. Ctr.	567	277	34	136	117	56.0
Wake Co. Jail	1,416	311	30	201	179	71.5
North Dakota						
Cass Co. Jail	222	203	27	126	110	71.6
Ohio						
Cuyahoga Co. Corr. Ctr.	2,173	366	32	211	186	63.2
Franklin Co. Corrections Ctr. I	2,714	383	62	187	174	58.3
Hamilton Co. Justice Ctr.	1,240	316	31	214	186	75.1
Hamilton Co. Talbert House Drug & Alcohol Treatment	147	147	8	121	103	87.1
Marion-Hardin Co. Multi-County Corr. Ctr.	184	183	39	64	61	44.4
Northwest Ohio Reg. Corr. Ctr.	662	289	44	172	154	70.2
River City Corr. Fac.	185	158	0	138	124	87.3
Southeastern Ohio Reg. Jail	204	204	37	95	85	56.9
Oklahoma						
Mayes Co. Jail	118	118	0	46	40	39.0
Oklahoma Co. Det. Ctr.	2,021	322	33	218	194	75.4
Rogers Co. Jail	182	179	18	126	108	78.3
Oregon						
Coos Co. Jail	100	100	13	65	58	74.7
Marion Co. Corr. Fac.	602	275	35	187	169	77.9
Washington Co. Jail	638	288	40	175	157	70.6

Appendix table 1. Characteristics of local jails selected in the National Inmate Survey, 2007 (cont.)

Facility name	Number of inmates in custody ^a	Number of inmates sampled	Number of ineligible inmates ^b	Number of respondents		
				Total	Sexual victimization survey	Response rate ^c
Pennsylvania						
Allegheny Co. Jail	2,817	345	13	257	230	77.4
Berks Co. Prison	1,325	313	34	211	176	75.6
Blair Co. Prison	298	204	19	151	133	81.6
Erie Co. Prison	564	258	14	193	164	79.1
Lancaster Co. Prison	1,248	298	10	204	180	70.8
Lycoming Co. Pre-Release Ctr.	59	59	2	42	38	73.7
Montgomery Co. Corr. Fac.	1,738	320	19	195	170	64.8
Philadelphia City Alternative & Special Det. Fac.	510	248	13	157	141	66.8
Philadelphia City Curran/Fromhold Corr. Fac.	3,125	345	25	219	189	68.4
Philadelphia City House of Corr.	1,700	319	14	227	198	74.4
Philadelphia City Industrial Corr. Ctr.	1,209	293	10	202	180	71.4
York Co. Prison	2,199	334	12	211	188	65.5
South Carolina						
Beaufort Co. Det. Ctr.	336	212	17	146	133	74.9
Berkeley Co. Hill-Finklea Det. Ctr.	377	229	15	129	115	60.3
Charleston Co. Det. Ctr.	1,769	329	40	170	148	58.8
Florence Co. Det. Ctr.	458	247	21	180	163	79.6
Lancaster Co. Det. Ctr.	198	194	30	81	70	49.4
Sumter-Lee Reg. Det. Ctr.	361	219	16	140	129	69.0
South Dakota						
Pennington Co. Jail	386	252	29	133	121	59.6
Tennessee						
Davidson Co. Criminal Justice Ctr.	758	272	28	104	90	42.6
Greene Co. Det. Ctr.	324	213	19	110	103	56.7
Knox Co. Work Release Ctr.	64	64	7	41	35	71.9
Madison Co. Penal Farm	71	71	4	59	54	88.1
Shelby Co. Corr. Ctr.	3,142	330	17	229	199	73.2
Shelby Co. Justice Ctr.	2,995	343	30	253	224	80.8
Sullivan Co. Jail	727	275	13	198	184	75.6
Tipton Co. Jail	172	172	12	119	111	74.4
Warren Co. Jail	216	180	14	113	102	68.1
Texas						
Bexar Co. Adult Det. Ctr.	4,179	418	67	156	145	44.4
Bowie Co. Corr. Ctr.	757	274	24	155	138	62.0
Brazoria Co. Jail & Det. Ctr.	932	319	40	198	181	71.0
Cameron Co. Jail	1,368	308	16	118	100	40.4
Dallas Co. Decker Det. Ctr.	455	275	46	152	134	66.4
Dallas Co. George Allen Jail	789	287	24	163	146	62.0
Dallas Co. North Tower Jail	3,185	344	27	222	202	70.0
Dallas Co. West Tower Jail	1,386	322	18	173	156	56.9
Denton Co. Det. Ctr.	1,018	296	16	213	192	76.1
El Paso Co. Jail Annex	1,426	305	18	203	181	70.7
Galveston Co. Jail	1,206	320	32	194	170	67.4
Gregg Co. Jail	952	314	43	176	161	64.9
Harris Co. Jail	4,634	351	25	257	229	78.8
Harris Co. Jail - Baker Street	4,537	351	35	248	216	78.5
Haskell Co. Rolling Plains Reg. Jail & Det. Ctr. ^e	550	270	15	171	152	67.1
Jefferson Co. Det. Ctr.	1,354	347	27	235	204	73.4
Kleberg Co. Jail	127	127	17	55	50	50.0
Limestone Co. Det. Ctr. ^e	1,169	408	45	140	127	38.6
Montgomery Co. Jail	1,097	306	22	231	201	81.3
Newton Co. Corr. Ctr. ^e	878	276	2	260	225	94.9
Potter Co. Det. Ctr.	625	276	28	164	144	66.1
Tarrant Co. Corr. Ctr.	2,081	336	33	196	176	64.7
Travis Co. Corr. Fac.	2,432	351	39	245	217	78.5
Utah						
Weber Co. Corr. Fac.	890	298	16	208	196	73.8

Appendix table 1. Characteristics of local jails selected in the National Inmate Survey, 2007 (cont.)

Facility name	Number of inmates in custody ^a	Number of inmates sampled	Number of ineligible inmates ^b	Number of respondents		
				Total	Sexual victimization survey	Response rate ^c
Virginia						
Central Virginia Reg. Jail	410	230	7	144	132	64.6
Culpeper Co. Jail	113	113	25	61	58	69.3
Dinwiddie Co. Jail	59	59	5	41	39	75.9
Duffield Reg. Jail Fac.	404	231	18	161	141	75.6
Newport News City Jail	700	277	18	153	131	59.1
Norfolk City Jail	1,797	320	18	223	198	73.8
Prince William-Manassas Reg. Adult Corr. Ctr.	793	285	20	161	145	60.8
Richmond City Jail	1,529	309	22	214	184	74.6
Roanoke City Jail	666	276	10	150	131	56.4
Roanoke Co. Jail	330	221	29	105	89	54.7
Rockbridge Co. Reg. Jail	63	63	4	46	40	78.0
Virginia Beach Corr. Ctr.	1,653	323	14	228	205	73.8
Washington						
Chelan Co. Reg. Jail	368	242	28	149	127	69.6
Clark Co. Jail	905	304	41	186	163	70.7
King Co. Corr. Fac.	1,511	386	57	186	168	56.5
King Co. Reg. Justice Ctr.	1,249	332	38	193	181	65.6
Snohomish Co. Jail	1,291	327	42	210	194	73.7
Whatcom Co. Jail	387	283	21	175	156	66.8
West Virginia						
Western Reg. Jail	502	253	9	175	154	71.7
Wisconsin						
Dane Co. Jail	1,035	303	37	182	152	68.4
La Crosse Co. Jail	211	182	24	96	89	60.8
Marathon Co. Adult Det. Fac.	377	230	29	155	132	77.1
Milwaukee Co. House of Corr.	2,002	326	18	195	171	63.3
Milwaukee Co. Jail	1,217	357	98	144	127	55.6
Waukesha Co. Jail	464	259	24	157	141	66.8
Waupaca Co. Jail	203	161	17	106	97	73.6
Wyoming						
Sheridan Co. Det. Ctr.	99	99	0	79	70	79.8

^aNumber of inmates in the facility on the day of the facility roster plus any new inmates admitted prior to the first day of data collection.

^bInmates were considered ineligible if they were (1) under age 18, (2) mentally or physically incapacitated, (3) transferred or released after sample selection, but before data collection period, or (4) identified as pre-arraigned. See *Methodology* for sample selection criteria.

^cResponse rate is equal to the total number of respondents divided by the number of inmates sampled minus the number of ineligible inmates times 100%.

^dFemale facility.

^ePrivate facility.

Appendix table 2. Percent of local jail inmates reporting sexual victimization and estimated standard error, by facility, National Inmate Survey, 2007

Facility name	Percent of inmates reporting sexual victimization ^a			Percent of inmates reporting nonconsensual sexual acts or abusive sexual contacts ^b	
	Reported	Weighted ^c	Standard error ^d	Weighted ^c	Standard error ^d
Total	3.3%	3.2%	0.1%	2.6%	0.1%
Alabama					
Anniston City Jail ^e	3.8	3.6	2.3	3.6	2.3
Bullock Co. Jail ^e	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Etowah Co. Det. Ctr.	1.6	1.5	0.7	1.5	0.7
Jackson Co. Jail	2.9	2.3	0.8	2.3	0.8
Limestone Co. Jail ^e	2.1	2.1	1.2	2.1	1.2
Shelby Co. Jail	2.2	1.9	0.9	1.9	0.9
Arizona					
Coconino Co. Jail	3.0	2.1	1.0	1.3	0.8
Maricopa Co. Jail - 4th Avenue	3.5	2.9	1.0	2.9	1.0
Maricopa Co. Jail - Durango	2.2	2.1	0.9	2.1	0.9
Maricopa Co. Jail - Estrella ^f	2.8	2.7	1.1	2.7	1.1
Maricopa Co. Jail - Lower Buckeye	3.0	2.6	1.0	2.3	1.0
Pinal Co. Jail ^e	1.6	1.8	1.0	1.8	1.0
Arkansas					
Searcy Co. Jail ^e	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
California					
Alameda Co. Santa Rita Jail	3.1	3.4	1.6	3.4	1.6
Fresno Co. Det. Fac. - Main Jail	3.1	3.1	1.5	3.1	1.5
Imperial Co. Jail	3.0	3.3	1.4	3.3	1.4
Kern Co. Lerdo Pre-Trial Fac.	3.3	2.9	1.1	2.9	1.1
Los Angeles Co. Mens Central Jail	3.8	3.3	1.5	3.3	1.5
Los Angeles Co. North Corr. Fac.	4.0	3.2	1.2	1.9	0.9
Los Angeles Co. Pitchess Honor Rancho Jail - North ^e	1.6	1.6	0.9	1.2	0.8
Los Angeles Co. Twin Towers Corr. Fac.	7.4	6.4	2.6	6.4	2.6
Orange Co. Central Jail Complex	5.1	4.3	1.4	4.3	1.4
Orange Co. James A. Musick Fac.	2.5	2.2	0.9	2.2	0.9
Riverside Co. Larry D. Smith Corr. Ctr.	2.4	2.7	1.1	1.8	0.9
Riverside Co. Robert Presley Det. Ctr.	5.7	6.4	2.2	6.4	2.2
Sacramento Co. Rio Cosumnes Corr. Ctr.	3.2	3.2	1.3	2.1	0.9
Sacramento Co. Main Jail	2.0	2.5	1.2	2.0	1.1
San Bernardino Co. W. Valley Det. Ctr.	8.1	6.0	2.2	4.6	2.0
San Bernardino Co. Glen Helen Rehab. Ctr.	2.9	3.1	1.2	2.6	1.1
San Bernardino Co. Central Det. Ctr.	2.3	2.1	0.8	1.6	0.7
San Diego Co. George F. Bailey Det. Fac.	5.1	4.9	1.6	4.4	1.5
San Diego Co. Las Colinas Women's Det. Fac. ^f	5.6	5.9	1.8	5.9	1.8
San Francisco Co. Jail - No. 1	5.0	4.9	1.7	4.9	1.7
San Joaquin Co. Jail ^e	1.1	0.9	0.6	0.9	0.6
Santa Barbara Co. Jail	4.4	4.0	1.3	4.0	1.3
Santa Clara Co. Elmwood Corr. Complex	2.7	2.2	1.1	2.2	1.1
Santa Clara Co. Main Jail - North	4.2	4.0	1.5	4.0	1.5
Stanislaus Co. Public Safety Ctr. ^e	1.8	2.0	1.1	2.0	1.1
Tulare Co. Men's Corr. Fac. ^e	1.5	1.0	0.6	0.5	0.4
Ventura Co. Jail - Todd Road	3.3	2.8	1.0	1.8	0.8
Colorado					
Adams Co. Det. Fac.	5.6	4.2	1.6	4.2	1.6
Arapahoe Co. Jail	4.9	3.0	1.1	3.0	1.1
El Paso Co. Det. Fac.	3.0	2.6	1.0	2.1	0.9
Garfield Co. Jail	4.5	5.5	1.9	5.5	1.9
Prowers Co. Jail ^e	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Weld Co. Jail ^e	0.6	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.7
District of Columbia					
D.C. Dept. of Corr. Jail	3.9	4.2	1.7	4.2	1.7

Appendix table 2. Percent of local jail inmates reporting sexual victimization and estimated standard error, by facility, National Inmate Survey, 2007 (cont.)

Facility name	Percent of inmates reporting sexual victimization ^a			Percent of inmates reporting nonconsensual sexual acts or abusive sexual contacts ^b	
	Reported	Weighted ^c	Standard error ^d	Weighted ^c	Standard error ^d
Florida					
Alachua Co. Jail	4.2	3.8	1.2	3.8	1.2
Brevard Co. Det. Ctr.	7.9	8.5	1.9	7.1	1.8
Broward Co. Conte Corr. Fac.	2.9	3.0	1.3	2.2	1.1
Broward Co. Main Jail	5.0	5.7	2.5	5.0	2.4
Broward Co. North Jail - Pompano Beach	4.3	4.2	1.5	4.2	1.5
Broward Co. Stockade ^e	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.6
Collier Co. Jail	5.7	5.4	1.8	4.7	1.7
Dixie Co. Jail	5.4	6.5	2.5	6.5	2.5
Hillsborough Co. Falkenburg Road Jail	3.0	2.4	1.0	2.4	1.0
Hillsborough Co. Orient Road Jail ^e	1.8	1.2	0.7	1.2	0.7
Jackson Co. Corr. Fac.	1.8	1.6	0.8	0.9	0.6
Jacksonville City Montgomery Corr. Ctr.	2.7	2.8	1.1	2.8	1.1
Lake Co. Jail ^e	1.8	1.8	0.9	1.8	0.9
Lee Co. Jail ^e	3.4	3.3	1.7	2.2	1.4
Marion Co. Jail	4.8	5.2	1.5	4.2	1.3
Miami-Dade Co. Metro West Det. Ctr.	2.2	2.5	1.3	2.5	1.3
Miami-Dade Co. Training & Treatment Ctr. ^e	1.3	1.2	0.8	1.2	0.8
Miami-Dade Co. Turner Guilford Knight Corr. Ctr.	5.2	5.1	1.9	4.5	1.8
Orange Co. 33rd Street Corr. Ctr.	3.6	3.1	1.2	2.6	1.1
Orange Co. Work Release Ctr.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Pinellas Co. Central Division Fac.	2.6	2.4	1.1	1.8	0.9
Pinellas Co. South Fac. (Max. Sec.)	3.0	3.2	1.6	3.2	1.6
Sarasota North Co. Jail	6.4	6.3	1.8	5.6	1.7
Seminole Co. John E. Polk Corr. Fac.	4.7	5.0	1.7	5.0	1.7
South Co. Jail	4.5	4.9	1.7	2.8	1.3
St. Johns Co. Jail	1.7	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.0
Georgia					
Atlanta City Jail	4.8	7.1	3.0	7.1	3.0
Bartow Co. Jail	3.6	3.3	1.3	2.0	1.0
Carroll Co. Jail	1.9	2.2	1.0	2.2	1.0
Cobb Co. Sheriff's Office Jail & Prison Unit	5.0	5.4	1.6	5.4	1.6
Coweta Co. Jail	2.5	2.9	1.3	2.9	1.3
Crisp Co. Jail ^e	1.3	1.2	0.8	0.0	0.0
Dekalb Co. Jail	2.8	3.5	1.5	2.9	1.4
Dooly Co. Jail ^e	2.9	3.6	2.4	3.6	2.4
Dougherty Co. Jail	3.0	2.4	1.0	0.7	0.5
Floyd Co. Jail ^e	1.2	1.1	0.7	1.1	0.7
Fulton Co. Jail	7.5	7.1	1.8	5.7	1.7
Gwinnett Co. Comprehensive Corr. Complex ^e	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.5
Gwinnett Co. Jail	3.9	3.7	1.2	3.2	1.2
Muscogee Co. Jail	3.3	2.7	1.0	2.3	1.0
Paulding Co. Det. Ctr.	6.0	5.4	2.1	5.4	2.1
Pelham Municipal Jail ^e	1.5	1.7	1.2	0.0	0.0
Richmond Co. Corr. Inst.	2.8	2.3	1.0	1.6	0.8
Idaho					
Bingham Co. Jail	6.7	5.2	2.3	5.2	2.3
Illinois					
Coles Co. Jail	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Cook Co. Jail - Division 2	3.3	2.6	1.0	1.3	0.8
Cook Co. Jail - Division 11	3.9	3.9	1.4	3.5	1.3
Ogle Co. Jail	5.0	4.8	3.1	4.8	3.1
Pulaski Co. Tri-County Justice & Det. Ctr. ^{e,g}	6.7	6.7	6.4	0.0	0.0
Will Co. Adult Det. Fac.	5.2	6.8	2.0	4.8	1.6
Indiana					
Daviess Co. Jail	3.3	2.6	1.0	1.8	0.9
Hamilton Co. Jail	3.1	3.6	1.4	3.6	1.4
Harrison Co. Jail ^e	1.4	2.0	1.4	2.0	1.4
Hendricks Co. Jail	3.4	3.1	1.5	3.1	1.5
Lake Co. Jail	4.8	4.9	1.6	3.5	1.3
Marion Co. Jail Intake Fac.	3.8	4.1	1.8	2.9	1.5
Wayne Co. Jail	7.6	7.5	1.9	7.5	1.9

Appendix table 2. Percent of local jail inmates reporting sexual victimization and estimated standard error, by facility, National Inmate Survey, 2007 (cont.)

Facility name	Percent of inmates reporting sexual victimization ^a			Percent of inmates reporting nonconsensual sexual acts or abusive sexual contacts ^b	
	Reported	Weighted ^c	Standard error ^d	Weighted ^c	Standard error ^d
Iowa					
Polk Co. Jail ^e	4.1	4.8	2.6	3.4	2.2
Story Co. Jail ^e	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Kansas					
Atchison Co. Jail ^e	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Kentucky					
Boyd Co. Jail	5.6	5.4	1.6	4.6	1.5
Daviess Co. Det. Ctr.	1.7	2.4	1.2	1.7	1.1
Grant Co. Jail	3.4	3.2	1.3	1.4	0.8
Hardin Co. Det. Ctr.	2.8	2.5	0.9	2.1	0.9
Kentucky River Reg. Jail	5.4	4.0	1.5	3.2	1.3
Lexington-Fayette Co. Det. Ctr.	6.2	6.1	1.9	3.3	1.4
Louisville-Jefferson Co. Dept. of Corr.	3.9	4.3	1.9	2.3	1.1
Warren Co. Reg. Jail	3.3	3.8	1.7	1.4	0.9
Louisiana					
Ascension Parish Jail	1.5	1.4	0.7	1.4	0.7
Avoyelles Parish Bunkie Det. Ctr. ^e	1.3	1.5	0.8	0.9	0.7
Caldwell Parish Jails - (2 facilities)	6.2	6.9	1.6	5.3	1.4
Catahoula Corr. Ctr. ^e	1.8	2.1	0.7	1.7	0.7
East Baton Rouge Prison	4.0	3.7	1.2	3.2	1.1
Franklin Parish Jail	3.9	3.9	1.1	3.4	1.1
La Fourche Parish Jail	7.9	6.6	1.2	5.0	1.0
Lafayette Parish Corr. Center	5.8	5.6	1.4	4.1	1.2
Sabine Parish Det. Ctr. ^e	1.3	1.3	0.7	1.3	0.7
St. Bernard Parish Prison	1.9	1.9	0.8	1.9	0.8
St. Tammany Parish Jail	4.6	4.5	1.4	4.1	1.4
Terrebonne Parish Jail	5.1	4.7	1.2	4.4	1.1
Maine					
Androscoggin Co. Jail	7.3	6.7	2.2	5.1	2.0
Maryland					
Anne Arundel Co. Dept. of Det. Fac.	3.5	2.8	1.1	2.2	0.9
Baltimore City Det. Ctr.	3.3	3.5	1.4	2.4	1.2
Cecil Co. Comm. Adult Rehab. Ctr. ^e	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Montgomery Co. Corr. Fac.	3.9	3.8	1.2	1.3	0.8
Washington Co. Det. Ctr.	2.8	3.0	1.3	2.3	1.1
Massachusetts					
Barnstable Co. Corr. Fac.	2.7	2.4	0.9	2.4	0.9
Berkshire Co. Jail & House of Corr.	4.4	4.6	1.3	3.0	1.0
Hampden Co. Western Massachusetts Corr. Alcohol Ctr. ^e	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Middlesex Co. House of Corr. - Billerica ^e	0.7	1.0	0.9	0.0	0.0
Plymouth Co. Corr. Fac.	2.3	2.3	1.1	0.9	0.6
Worcester Co. Jail & House of Corr.	3.9	4.2	1.5	3.2	1.2
Michigan					
Bay Co. Jail ^e	0.9	0.9	0.7	0.9	0.7
Kalamazoo Co. Jail	3.2	4.1	1.6	1.5	1.1
Kent Co. Corr. Fac.	4.5	4.3	1.3	4.3	1.3
Montmorency Co. Jail	4.5	3.6	1.7	3.6	1.7
Oakland Co. Jail ^e	1.5	1.7	1.0	1.7	1.0
Ottawa Co. Jail ^e	1.2	1.1	0.6	1.1	0.6
Wayne Co. Andrew C. Baird Det. Fac. ^e	0.7	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
Wayne Co. William Dickerson Det. Fac. ^e	0.7	1.0	0.9	1.0	0.9
Minnesota					
Hennepin Co. Adult Det. Ctr.	3.0	2.6	1.2	1.3	0.9
Koochiching Co. Law Enforcement Ctr. ^e	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Mississippi					
Madison Co. Jail	3.3	4.6	1.4	4.6	1.4
Tippah Co. Jail	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Missouri					
Clay Co. Det. Ctr. ^e	1.6	1.5	0.8	1.5	0.8
Jackson Co. Municipal Corr. Inst. ^e	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
St. Louis Co. Jail ^e	1.6	1.6	0.8	1.6	0.8
Wayne Co. Jail ^e	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Appendix table 2. Percent of local jail inmates reporting sexual victimization and estimated standard error, by facility, National Inmate Survey, 2007 (cont.)

Facility name	Percent of inmates reporting sexual victimization ^a			Percent of inmates reporting nonconsensual sexual acts or abusive sexual contacts ^b	
	Reported	Weighted ^c	Standard error ^d	Weighted ^c	Standard error ^d
Montana					
Cascade Co. Reg. Jail	4.2	3.8	1.5	3.8	1.5
Nebraska					
Douglas Dept. of Corr.	3.4	3.1	1.3	2.7	1.3
Nevada					
Clark Co. Det. Ctr.	2.2	2.2	1.1	1.7	0.9
Las Vegas City Det. Ctr. ^e	1.3	0.6	0.4	0.6	0.4
Washoe Co. Det. Ctr.	3.0	3.1	1.1	1.9	0.8
New Hampshire					
Hillsborough Co. House of Corr.	3.4	2.9	1.1	2.1	1.0
New Jersey					
Atlantic Co. Gerard L. Gormley Justice Fac.	4.8	4.2	1.7	3.2	1.4
Camden Co. Corr. Fac.	1.9	2.0	1.0	1.3	0.7
Essex Co. Corr. Fac.	2.8	1.8	0.8	0.7	0.4
Hudson Co. Corr. Fac.	2.5	2.6	1.1	2.0	1.0
Mercer Co. Corr. Ctr.	3.8	3.0	1.0	1.5	0.7
Morris Co. Corr. Fac.	2.5	1.7	0.8	1.3	0.7
Union Co. Jail	2.5	3.7	1.8	2.2	1.1
New Mexico					
Bernalillo Co. Metropolitan Det. Ctr.	7.7	8.9	2.9	7.8	2.7
San Juan Co. Det. Ctr. ^e	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.0	0.0
Santa Fe Co. Adult Corr. Fac.	4.1	3.7	1.3	2.9	1.1
Torrance Co. Det. Fac. ^g	10.4	13.4	4.1	10.1	3.8
New York					
Albany Co. Corr. Fac.	3.6	3.1	1.3	2.2	1.0
Erie Co. Corr. Fac.	3.6	3.1	1.1	2.8	1.1
Erie Co. Holding Ctr.	7.6	5.8	1.7	5.2	1.6
Franklin Co. Jail	7.4	7.3	1.4	5.1	1.2
New York City Anna M. Kross Ctr.	4.7	4.4	1.6	4.4	1.6
New York City Otis Bantum Corr. Ctr.	3.8	2.8	1.1	1.2	0.8
New York City Rose M. Singer Ctr. ^f	7.9	7.2	1.7	6.9	1.7
Oswego Co. Corr. Fac.	2.4	1.8	0.7	1.8	0.7
Westchester Co. Penitentiary	2.7	2.7	1.0	0.5	0.4
North Carolina					
Cabarrus Co. Jail ^e	4.9	2.8	1.4	2.0	1.3
Chowan Co. Det. Fac. ^e	6.7	8.6	5.8	0.0	0.0
Cleveland Co.	5.6	6.0	1.9	4.3	1.4
Mecklenburg Co. Jail	3.6	3.8	1.4	3.0	1.2
Mecklenburg Co. Jail - North	5.8	6.1	1.9	4.7	1.7
New Hanover Co. Det. Ctr. ^e	0.9	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.6
Wake Co. Jail	3.9	3.9	1.3	3.3	1.2
North Dakota					
Cass Co. Jail	1.8	1.6	0.7	0.8	0.5
Ohio					
Cuyahoga Co. Corr. Ctr. ^e	1.1	1.1	0.7	1.1	0.7
Franklin Co. Corrections Ctr. I	3.4	4.2	1.8	3.7	1.7
Hamilton Co. Justice Ctr.	2.7	3.2	1.3	2.5	1.1
Hamilton Co. Talbert House Drug & Alcohol Treatment	5.8	5.9	1.2	4.9	1.1
Marion-Hardin Co. Multi-County Corr. Ctr. ^e	1.6	0.8	0.6	0.8	0.6
Northwest Ohio Reg. Corr. Ctr. ^e	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
River City Corr. Fac.	2.4	2.5	0.8	2.5	0.8
Southeastern Ohio Reg. Jail	8.2	8.1	2.1	8.1	2.1
Oklahoma					
Mayes Co. Jail ^e	5.0	5.5	3.1	5.5	3.1
Oklahoma Co. Det. Ctr.	4.6	4.5	1.4	4.5	1.4
Rogers Co. Jail	3.7	4.4	1.3	4.4	1.3
Oregon					
Coos Co. Jail ^e	1.7	1.4	0.8	1.4	0.8
Marion Co. Corr. Fac.	3.0	3.0	1.1	2.7	1.1
Washington Co. Jail ^e	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.4

Appendix table 2. Percent of local jail inmates reporting sexual victimization and estimated standard error, by facility, National Inmate Survey, 2007 (cont.)

Facility name	Percent of inmates reporting sexual victimization ^a			Percent of inmates reporting nonconsensual sexual acts or abusive sexual contacts ^b	
	Reported	Weighted ^c	Standard error ^d	Weighted ^c	Standard error ^d
Pennsylvania					
Allegheny Co. Jail	2.2	2.2	0.9	1.4	0.8
Berks Co. Prison ^e	1.7	1.7	0.9	1.1	0.7
Blair Co. Prison ^e	0.8	0.7	0.5	0.7	0.5
Erie Co. Prison ^e	1.2	1.3	0.8	0.4	0.3
Lancaster Co. Prison	4.4	4.2	1.4	2.1	1.0
Lycoming Co. Pre-Release Ctr. ^e	2.6	2.2	1.3	0.0	0.0
Montgomery Co. Corr. Fac.	2.9	2.8	1.2	2.8	1.2
Philadelphia City Alternative & Special Det. Fac.	3.5	4.1	1.6	3.5	1.5
Philadelphia City Curran/Fromhold Corr. Fac.	3.7	3.9	1.4	2.3	1.1
Philadelphia City House of Corr. ^e	1.5	1.5	0.8	1.1	0.7
Philadelphia City Industrial Corr. Ctr.	7.8	6.9	1.8	5.9	1.6
York Co. Prison	2.1	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.0
South Carolina					
Beaufort Co. Det. Ctr.	2.3	1.9	0.8	1.3	0.7
Berkeley Co. Hill-Finklea Det. Ctr.	2.6	2.1	1.0	1.5	0.9
Charleston Co. Det. Ctr. ^e	1.4	1.9	1.3	1.2	1.1
Florence Co. Det. Ctr.	3.7	3.8	1.2	2.4	0.9
Lancaster Co. Det. Ctr. ^e	2.9	2.4	1.4	2.4	1.4
Sumter-Lee Reg. Det. Ctr.	3.1	3.2	1.3	3.2	1.3
South Dakota					
Pennington Co. Jail	3.3	3.2	1.3	3.2	1.3
Tennessee					
Davidson Co. Criminal Justice Ctr. ^e	3.3	4.2	2.5	1.8	1.2
Greene Co. Det. Ctr. ^e	1.9	2.0	1.1	2.0	1.1
Knox Co. Work Release Ctr. ^e	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Madison Co. Penal Farm	1.9	2.6	1.1	2.6	1.1
Shelby Co. Corr. Ctr.	5.0	5.3	1.8	5.3	1.8
Shelby Co. Justice Ctr.	2.2	2.1	0.9	1.8	0.9
Sullivan Co. Jail	2.7	2.5	1.0	1.8	0.8
Tipton Co. Jail	1.8	1.6	0.6	0.0	0.0
Warren Co. Jail	4.9	4.3	1.4	4.3	1.4
Texas					
Bexar Co. Adult Det. Ctr. ^e	2.1	1.6	0.9	1.6	0.9
Bowie Co. Corr. Ctr.	4.3	2.8	1.1	2.3	1.0
Brazoria Co. Jail & Det. Ctr. ^e	1.1	0.8	0.5	0.4	0.4
Cameron Co. Jail	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Dallas Co. Decker Det. Ctr.	2.2	1.8	0.9	1.8	0.9
Dallas Co. George Allen Jail	3.4	3.1	1.4	3.1	1.4
Dallas Co. North Tower Jail	5.0	5.0	1.5	4.7	1.5
Dallas Co. West Tower Jail	5.1	5.2	1.9	5.2	1.9
Denton Co. Det. Ctr. ^e	2.1	1.7	1.0	0.7	0.4
El Paso Co. Jail Annex	4.4	3.9	1.3	3.6	1.3
Galveston Co. Jail	4.1	4.0	1.4	4.0	1.4
Gregg Co. Jail	3.7	3.8	1.4	3.2	1.3
Harris Co. Jail	2.6	3.8	1.6	2.8	1.4
Harris Co. Jail - Baker Street	5.1	5.0	1.5	4.7	1.5
Haskell Co. Rolling Plains Reg. Jail & Det. Ctr. ^{e,g}	2.0	1.3	0.8	0.8	0.7
Jefferson Co. Det. Ctr.	4.4	3.8	1.2	3.4	1.1
Kleberg Co. Jail ^e	2.0	2.5	1.8	2.5	1.8
Limestone Co. Det. Ctr. ^{e,g}	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.6
Montgomery Co. Jail	3.0	3.1	1.1	2.6	1.1
Newton Co. Corr. Ctr. ^e	1.3	1.1	0.6	0.8	0.5
Potter Co. Det. Ctr. ^e	2.1	3.0	1.7	1.3	0.8
Tarrant Co. Corr. Ctr.	3.4	3.7	1.5	3.7	1.5
Travis Co. Corr. Fac.	5.5	6.0	1.7	6.0	1.7
Utah					
Weber Co. Corr. Fac.	4.1	4.5	1.5	4.5	1.5

Appendix table 2. Percent of local jail inmates reporting sexual victimization and estimated standard error, by facility, National Inmate Survey, 2007 (cont.)

Facility name	Percent of inmates reporting sexual victimization ^a			Percent of inmates reporting nonconsensual sexual acts or abusive sexual contacts ^b	
	Reported	Weighted ^c	Standard error ^d	Weighted ^c	Standard error ^d
Virginia					
Central Virginia Reg. Jail ^e	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.0	0.0
Culpeper Co. Jail ^e	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Dinwiddie Co. Jail ^e	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Duffield Reg. Jail Fac.	3.5	3.5	1.3	3.0	1.2
Newport News City Jail ^e	2.3	3.7	2.1	3.7	2.1
Norfolk City Jail ^e	1.5	1.5	0.8	1.2	0.8
Prince William-Manassas Reg. Adult Corr. Ctr.	2.8	2.4	1.1	2.4	1.1
Richmond City Jail	4.9	4.5	1.4	4.5	1.4
Roanoke City Jail ^e	5.3	5.4	1.9	4.6	1.8
Roanoke Co. Jail ^e	2.2	2.1	1.3	0.7	0.6
Rockbridge Co. Reg. Jail ^e	2.5	2.0	1.1	2.0	1.1
Virginia Beach Corr. Ctr. ^e	2.0	2.4	1.4	2.4	1.4
Washington					
Chelan Co. Reg. Jail ^e	1.6	1.5	0.8	1.5	0.8
Clark Co. Jail	8.0	9.1	2.2	8.5	2.1
King Co. Corr. Fac.	5.4	4.2	1.4	4.2	1.4
King Co. Reg. Justice Ctr. ^e	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.0	0.0
Snohomish Co. Jail	1.5	1.4	0.8	1.4	0.8
Whatcom Co. Jail	6.4	5.6	1.5	5.1	1.5
West Virginia					
Western Reg. Jail	3.2	3.9	1.5	2.9	1.3
Wisconsin					
Dane Co. Jail	4.6	3.6	1.3	3.1	1.2
La Crosse Co. Jail ^e	2.2	0.6	0.3	0.6	0.3
Marathon Co. Adult Det. Fac.	3.8	3.7	1.3	2.7	1.1
Milwaukee Co. House of Corr. ^e	2.3	2.6	1.4	2.6	1.4
Milwaukee Co. Jail	2.4	1.8	1.0	1.8	1.0
Waukesha Co. Jail	3.5	3.1	1.1	1.8	0.9
Waupaca Co. Jail	2.1	2.0	0.9	2.0	0.9
Wyoming					
Sheridan Co. Det. Ctr. ^e	1.4	1.1	0.6	1.1	0.6

^aInmates reporting one or more incidents of sexual victimization involving another inmate or facility staff since admission to the facility or since admission if less than 6 months.

^bExcludes staff-on-inmate acts and contacts reported by inmate as willing.

^cWeights were applied so that inmates who responded accurately reflected the entire population of each facility on selected characteristics, including age, gender, race, time served, and sentence length. (See *Methodology* for weighting and nonresponse adjustments.)

^dStandard errors may be used to construct confidence intervals around the weighted survey estimates. For example, the 95% confidence interval around the total percent is 4.5% plus or minus 1.96 times 0.3% (or 3.9% to 5.1%).

^eThe 95% confidence level around the weighted estimate includes zero.

^fFemale facility.

^gPrivate facility.

Appendix table 3. Percent of local jail inmates reporting nonconsensual sexual acts and abusive sexual contacts, by facility, National Inmate Survey, 2007

Facility name	Nonconsensual sexual acts ^a		Abusive sexual contacts only ^b	
	Percent victimized	Standard error ^c	Percent victimized	Standard error ^c
Total	2.1%	0.1%	1.1%	0.1%
Alabama				
Etowah Co. Det. Ctr.	0.4	0.3	1.1	0.7
Jackson Co. Jail	1.3	0.5	1.0	0.6
Shelby Co. Jail	1.3	0.8	0.6	0.5
Arizona				
Coconino Co. Jail	1.7	0.9	0.4	0.4
Maricopa Co. Jail - 4th Avenue	1.7	0.8	1.1	0.6
Maricopa Co. Jail - Durango	1.3	0.7	0.8	0.5
Maricopa Co. Jail - Estrella ^d	1.8	1.0	0.9	0.6
Maricopa Co. Jail - Lower Buckeye	2.6	1.0	0.0	0.0
California				
Alameda Co. Santa Rita Jail	1.9	1.4	1.5	0.9
Fresno Co. Det. Fac. - Main Jail	2.2	1.2	1.0	0.9
Imperial Co. Jail	2.7	1.4	0.6	0.5
Kern Co. Lerdo Pre-Trial Fac.	2.4	1.0	0.5	0.5
Los Angeles Co. Mens Central Jail	1.3	1.0	2.0	1.1
Los Angeles Co. North Corr. Fac.	2.9	1.1	0.3	0.3
Los Angeles Co. Twin Towers Corr. Fac.	2.7	1.7	3.6	2.0
Orange Co. Central Jail Complex	1.0	0.7	3.3	1.2
Orange Co. James A. Musick Fac.	1.4	0.7	0.7	0.5
Riverside Co. Larry D. Smith Corr. Ctr.	1.6	0.9	1.1	0.6
Riverside Co. Robert Presley Det. Ctr.	4.2	1.9	2.2	1.1
Sacramento Co. Rio Cosumnes Corr. Ctr.	2.5	1.3	0.7	0.5
Sacramento Co. Main Jail	1.1	0.7	1.4	1.0
San Bernardino Co. W. Valley Det. Ctr.	3.8	1.9	2.2	1.2
San Bernardino Co. Glen Helen Rehab. Ctr.	1.5	0.8	1.6	0.9
San Bernardino Co. Central Det. Ctr.	1.6	0.7	0.5	0.5
San Diego Co. George F. Bailey Det. Fac.	2.4	0.9	2.5	1.3
San Diego Co. Las Colinas Women's Det. Fac. ^d	3.3	1.3	2.7	1.2
San Francisco Co. Jail - No. 1	1.5	0.9	3.4	1.4
Santa Barbara Co. Jail	3.5	1.2	0.4	0.4
Santa Clara Co. Elmwood Corr. Complex	0.6	0.6	1.5	0.9
Santa Clara Co. Main Jail - North	3.4	1.4	0.7	0.6
Ventura Co. Jail - Todd Road	2.2	0.9	0.6	0.5
Colorado				
Adams Co. Det. Fac.	2.5	1.0	1.8	1.3
Arapahoe Co. Jail	0.1	0.1	2.9	1.1
El Paso Co. Det. Fac.	2.1	0.9	0.4	0.4
Garfield Co. Jail	3.0	1.2	2.5	1.4
District of Columbia				
D.C. Dept. of Corr. Jail	3.1	1.5	1.1	0.7
Florida				
Alachua Co. Jail	1.9	0.9	1.9	0.9
Brevard Co. Det. Ctr.	7.8	1.8	0.8	0.5
Broward Co. Conte Corr. Fac.	1.1	0.8	1.9	1.1
Broward Co. Main Jail	2.5	1.9	3.3	1.7
Broward Co. North Jail - Pompano Beach	3.8	1.5	0.5	0.4
Collier Co. Jail	5.1	1.8	0.3	0.3
Dixie Co. Jail	1.2	0.8	5.3	2.4
Hillsborough Co. Falkenburg Road Jail	1.7	0.8	0.7	0.5
Jackson Co. Corr. Fac.	0.7	0.5	0.9	0.6
Jacksonville City Montgomery Corr. Ctr.	1.6	0.8	1.2	0.7
Marion Co. Jail	3.0	1.1	2.2	1.0
Miami-Dade Co. Metro West Det. Ctr.	2.5	1.3	0.0	0.0
Miami-Dade Co. Turner Guilford Knight Corr. Ctr.	3.8	1.7	1.2	0.8
Orange Co. 33rd Street Corr. Ctr.	1.9	1.0	1.1	0.7
Pinellas Co. Central Division Fac.	2.4	1.1	0.0	0.0
Pinellas Co. South Fac. (Max. Sec.)	3.2	1.6	0.0	0.0
Sarasota North Co. Jail	2.5	1.2	3.7	1.4
Seminole Co. John E. Polk Corr. Fac.	4.2	1.5	0.8	0.7
South Co. Jail	2.9	1.4	2.0	1.1
St. Johns Co. Jail	1.5	0.9	0.5	0.4

Appendix table 3. Percent of local jail inmates reporting nonconsensual sexual acts and abusive sexual contacts, by facility, National Inmate Survey, 2007 (cont.)

Facility name	Nonconsensual sexual acts ^a		Abusive sexual contacts only ^b	
	Percent victimized	Standard error ^c	Percent victimized	Standard error ^c
Georgia				
Atlanta City Jail	5.6	2.9	1.4	0.8
Bartow Co. Jail	1.2	0.7	2.1	1.0
Carroll Co. Jail	2.2	1.0	0.0	0.0
Cobb Co. Sheriff's Office Jail & Prison Unit	2.1	0.9	3.3	1.3
Coweta Co. Jail	1.9	1.0	1.0	0.8
Dekalb Co. Jail	1.0	0.7	2.5	1.3
Dougherty Co. Jail	2.0	0.9	0.4	0.3
Fulton Co. Jail	4.8	1.6	2.3	1.0
Gwinnett Co. Jail	1.0	0.6	2.7	1.1
Muscogee Co. Jail	1.5	0.8	1.3	0.7
Paulding Co. Det. Ctr.	3.5	1.8	1.8	1.1
Richmond Co. Corr. Inst.	0.7	0.5	1.6	0.8
Idaho				
Bingham Co. Jail	2.0	1.5	3.2	1.7
Illinois				
Cook Co. Jail - Division 2	2.6	1.0	0.0	0.0
Cook Co. Jail - Division 11	3.4	1.3	0.5	0.4
Will Co. Adult Det. Fac.	4.7	1.8	2.1	1.1
Indiana				
Daviess Co. Jail	1.5	0.7	1.1	0.7
Hamilton Co. Jail	2.7	1.3	0.9	0.7
Hendricks Co. Jail	2.1	1.2	1.0	0.8
Lake Co. Jail	4.6	1.6	0.4	0.3
Marion Co. Jail Intake Fac.	4.1	1.8	0.0	0.0
Wayne Co. Jail	1.5	0.8	6.0	1.7
Kentucky				
Boyd Co. Jail	1.6	0.9	3.8	1.4
Daviess Co. Det. Ctr.	1.3	0.8	1.1	0.9
Grant Co. Jail	1.7	1.0	1.5	0.8
Hardin Co. Det. Ctr.	1.4	0.7	1.1	0.7
Kentucky River Reg. Jail	4.0	1.5	0.0	0.0
Lexington-Fayette Co. Det. Ctr.	5.5	1.8	0.6	0.6
Louisville-Jefferson Co. Dept. of Corr.	2.8	1.6	1.5	1.0
Warren Co. Reg. Jail	2.4	1.5	1.4	0.9
Louisiana				
Ascension Parish Jail	0.7	0.5	0.7	0.5
Caldwell Parish Jails - (2 facilities)	5.0	1.4	1.9	0.7
Catahoula Corr. Ctr. ^e	2.1	0.7	0.0	0.0
East Baton Rouge Prison	1.4	0.7	2.3	1.0
Franklin Parish Jail	2.4	0.9	1.5	0.7
La Fourche Parish Jail	4.0	0.9	2.6	0.7
Lafayette Parish Corr. Center	3.8	1.2	1.7	0.8
St. Bernard Parish Prison	1.0	0.5	0.9	0.5
St. Tammany Parish Jail	2.7	1.1	1.8	1.0
Terrebonne Parish Jail	1.7	0.7	3.1	1.0
Maine				
Androscoggin Co. Jail	5.1	2.0	1.6	1.0
Maryland				
Anne Arundel Co. Dept. of Det. Fac.	1.1	0.7	1.7	0.8
Baltimore City Det. Ctr.	2.9	1.3	0.6	0.6
Montgomery Co. Corr. Fac.	3.1	1.1	0.7	0.6
Washington Co. Det. Ctr.	2.4	1.2	0.6	0.5
Massachusetts				
Barnstable Co. Corr. Fac.	1.2	0.7	1.2	0.7
Berkshire Co. Jail & House of Corr.	3.0	1.2	1.6	0.7
Plymouth Co. Corr. Fac.	1.8	1.0	0.5	0.5
Worcester Co. Jail & House of Corr.	1.5	0.8	2.8	1.3
Michigan				
Kalamazoo Co. Jail	3.1	1.4	1.0	0.7
Kent Co. Corr. Fac.	3.1	1.2	1.2	0.6
Montmorency Co. Jail	3.6	1.7	0.0	0.0

Appendix table 3. Percent of local jail inmates reporting nonconsensual sexual acts and abusive sexual contacts, by facility, National Inmate Survey, 2007 (cont.)

Facility name	Nonconsensual sexual acts ^a		Abusive sexual contacts only ^b	
	Percent victimized	Standard error ^c	Percent victimized	Standard error ^c
Minnesota				
Hennepin Co. Adult Det. Ctr.	2.6	1.2	0.0	0.0
Mississippi				
Madison Co. Jail	2.5	1.0	2.1	1.0
Montana				
Cascade Co. Reg. Jail	1.3	0.7	2.5	1.3
Nebraska				
Douglas Dept. of Corr.	2.3	1.1	0.8	0.8
Nevada				
Clark Co. Det. Ctr.	1.2	0.8	1.0	0.7
Washoe Co. Det. Ctr.	1.6	0.7	1.5	0.8
New Hampshire				
Hillsborough Co. House of Corr.	1.2	0.7	1.7	0.9
New Jersey				
Atlantic Co. Gerard L. Gormley Justice Fac.	4.2	1.7	0.0	0.0
Camden Co. Corr. Fac.	1.4	0.8	0.6	0.5
Essex Co. Corr. Fac.	1.3	0.8	0.4	0.3
Hudson Co. Corr. Fac.	2.2	1.0	0.4	0.4
Mercer Co. Corr. Ctr.	2.5	0.9	0.4	0.4
Morris Co. Corr. Fac.	1.1	0.7	0.6	0.5
Union Co. Jail	3.7	1.8	0.0	0.0
New Mexico				
Bernalillo Co. Metropolitan Det. Ctr.	6.7	2.5	2.2	1.6
Santa Fe Co. Adult Corr. Fac.	3.7	1.3	0.0	0.0
Torrance Co. Det. Fac. ^e	8.9	3.3	4.5	2.7
New York				
Albany Co. Corr. Fac.	1.2	0.9	1.9	1.0
Erie Co. Corr. Fac.	1.9	0.8	1.2	0.8
Erie Co. Holding Ctr.	3.8	1.4	2.0	1.0
Franklin Co. Jail	5.3	1.2	2.0	0.7
New York City Anna M. Kross Ctr.	3.7	1.5	0.7	0.7
New York City Otis Bantum Corr. Ctr.	2.8	1.1	0.0	0.0
New York City Rose M. Singer Ctr. ^d	1.5	0.7	5.7	1.6
Oswego Co. Corr. Fac.	0.9	0.5	0.9	0.5
Westchester Co. Penitentiary	2.1	0.9	0.6	0.5
North Carolina				
Cleveland Co.	5.4	1.9	0.6	0.4
Mecklenburg Co. Jail	1.5	1.0	2.3	1.0
Mecklenburg Co. Jail - North	3.5	1.4	2.5	1.3
Wake Co. Jail	1.8	1.0	2.1	1.0
North Dakota				
Cass Co. Jail	0.8	0.5	0.8	0.5
Ohio				
Franklin Co. Corrections Ctr. I	2.9	1.3	1.3	1.3
Hamilton Co. Justice Ctr.	2.4	1.1	0.9	0.8
Hamilton Co. Talbert House Drug & Alcohol Treatment	1.9	0.7	4.0	1.0
River City Corr. Fac.	2.5	0.8	0.0	0.0
Southeastern Ohio Reg. Jail	5.8	1.8	2.3	1.2
Oklahoma				
Oklahoma Co. Det. Ctr.	2.2	1.0	2.4	1.0
Rogers Co. Jail	2.5	1.0	2.0	0.8
Oregon				
Marion Co. Corr. Fac.	2.1	1.0	0.9	0.6
Pennsylvania				
Allegheny Co. Jail	1.7	0.8	0.5	0.5
Lancaster Co. Prison	2.1	1.0	2.1	1.0
Montgomery Co. Corr. Fac.	1.9	1.1	0.9	0.6
Philadelphia City Alternative & Special Det. Fac.	1.8	1.1	2.3	1.1
Philadelphia City Curran/Fromhold Corr. Fac.	2.1	1.0	1.8	1.0
Philadelphia City Industrial Corr. Ctr.	5.3	1.6	1.6	0.8
York Co. Prison	1.3	0.9	0.6	0.4

Appendix table 3. Percent of local jail inmates reporting nonconsensual sexual acts and abusive sexual contacts, by facility, National Inmate Survey, 2007 (cont.)

Facility name	Nonconsensual sexual acts ^a		Abusive sexual contacts only ^b	
	Percent victimized	Standard error ^c	Percent victimized	Standard error ^c
South Carolina				
Beaufort Co. Det. Ctr.	1.9	0.8	0.0	0.0
Berkeley Co. Hill-Finklea Det. Ctr.	1.4	0.8	0.8	0.6
Florence Co. Det. Ctr.	2.5	1.0	1.2	0.7
Sumter-Lee Reg. Det. Ctr.	1.8	1.0	1.4	0.8
South Dakota				
Pennington Co. Jail	2.1	1.0	1.1	0.9
Tennessee				
Madison Co. Penal Farm	0.0	0.0	2.6	1.1
Shelby Co. Corr. Ctr.	3.8	1.6	1.5	0.8
Shelby Co. Justice Ctr.	1.3	0.8	0.7	0.5
Sullivan Co. Jail	1.1	0.7	1.4	0.7
Tipton Co. Jail	1.6	0.6	0.0	0.0
Warren Co. Jail	2.8	1.2	1.5	0.7
Texas				
Bowie Co. Corr. Ctr.	1.1	0.6	1.7	0.9
Dallas Co. Decker Det. Ctr.	1.4	0.8	0.3	0.3
Dallas Co. George Allen Jail	1.8	1.1	1.3	1.0
Dallas Co. North Tower Jail	3.2	1.3	1.8	0.9
Dallas Co. West Tower Jail	2.6	1.4	2.6	1.2
El Paso Co. Jail Annex	2.1	1.0	1.8	0.9
Galveston Co. Jail	1.8	1.0	2.3	1.1
Gregg Co. Jail	3.1	1.2	0.7	0.6
Harris Co. Jail	2.3	1.1	1.5	1.1
Harris Co. Jail - Baker Street	5.0	1.5	0.0	0.0
Jefferson Co. Det. Ctr.	2.6	1.0	1.2	0.6
Montgomery Co. Jail	2.0	0.9	1.1	0.7
Newton Co. Corr. Ctr. ^e	0.8	0.5	0.4	0.3
Tarrant Co. Corr. Ctr.	3.4	1.4	0.3	0.3
Travis Co. Corr. Fac.	3.0	1.2	3.0	1.2
Utah				
Weber Co. Corr. Fac.	2.1	1.1	2.4	1.0
Virginia				
Duffield Reg. Jail Fac.	0.9	0.5	2.6	1.2
Prince William-Manassas Reg. Adult Corr. Ctr.	2.4	1.1	0.0	0.0
Richmond City Jail	3.1	1.2	1.4	0.8
Roanoke City Jail	1.4	0.9	4.0	1.7
Washington				
Clark Co. Jail	3.4	1.3	5.7	1.8
King Co. Corr. Fac.	3.6	1.2	0.6	0.6
Whatcom Co. Jail	4.4	1.4	1.2	0.5
West Virginia				
Western Reg. Jail	2.8	1.2	1.1	0.9
Wisconsin				
Dane Co. Jail	2.7	1.1	1.0	0.6
Marathon Co. Adult Det. Fac.	3.7	1.3	0.0	0.0
Waukesha Co. Jail	2.6	1.1	0.6	0.5
Waupaca Co. Jail	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.9

Note: Excludes facilities with rates of sexual victimization not statistically different from zero at the 95% confidence level. Detail may not sum due to rounding.

^aIncludes all inmates who reported unwanted contacts with another inmate or any contacts with staff that involved oral, anal, or vaginal penetration, handjobs, and other sexual acts.

^bIncludes all inmates who reported unwanted contacts with another inmate or any contacts with staff that involved touching of the inmate's buttocks, thighs, penis, breasts, or vagina in a sexual way.

^cStandard errors may be used to construct confidence intervals around weighted survey estimates. (See *Methodology*.)

^dFemale facility.

^ePrivately operated facility.

Appendix table 4. Percent of local jail inmates reporting sexual victimization, by type of incident and facility, National Inmate Survey, 2007

Facility name	Inmate-on-inmate ^a		Staff-on-inmate ^a	
	Percent victimized	Standard error ^b	Percent victimized	Standard error ^b
Total	1.6%	0.1%	2.0%	0.1%
Alabama				
Etowah Co. Det. Ctr.	1.5	0.7	0.0	0.0
Jackson Co. Jail	1.8	0.7	1.3	0.5
Shelby Co. Jail	1.9	0.9	0.6	0.5
Arizona				
Coconino Co. Jail	0.0	0.0	2.1	1.0
Maricopa Co. Jail - 4th Avenue	1.7	0.8	1.1	0.6
Maricopa Co. Jail - Durango	1.6	0.8	0.9	0.6
Maricopa Co. Jail - Estrella ^c	2.7	1.1	0.4	0.4
Maricopa Co. Jail - Lower Buckeye	0.4	0.4	2.6	1.0
California				
Alameda Co. Santa Rita Jail	2.1	1.1	1.3	1.2
Fresno Co. Det. Fac. - Main Jail	1.6	1.1	1.5	1.0
Imperial Co. Jail	1.3	0.8	2.0	1.2
Kern Co. Lerdo Pre-Trial Fac.	1.4	0.7	2.0	0.9
Los Angeles Co. Mens Central Jail	1.0	0.8	2.5	1.3
Los Angeles Co. North Corr. Fac.	1.4	0.8	2.9	1.1
Los Angeles Co. Twin Towers Corr. Fac.	5.0	2.4	1.3	1.0
Orange Co. Central Jail Complex	3.3	1.2	1.0	0.7
Orange Co. James A. Musick Fac.	1.8	0.8	1.4	0.7
Riverside Co. Larry D. Smith Corr. Ctr.	1.2	0.7	2.2	1.0
Riverside Co. Robert Presley Det. Ctr.	3.7	1.3	3.7	1.9
Sacramento Co. Rio Cosumnes Corr. Ctr.	1.2	0.7	2.0	1.2
Sacramento Co. Main Jail	0.0	0.0	2.5	1.2
San Bernardino Co. W. Valley Det. Ctr.	3.6	1.8	2.6	1.2
San Bernardino Co. Glen Helen Rehab. Ctr.	1.3	0.9	1.7	0.8
San Bernardino Co. Central Det. Ctr.	0.4	0.3	1.8	0.8
San Diego Co. George F. Bailey Det. Fac.	3.0	1.3	3.7	1.4
San Diego Co. Las Colinas Women's Det. Fac. ^c	3.8	1.4	3.2	1.5
San Francisco Co. Jail - No. 1	3.4	1.4	1.5	0.9
Santa Barbara Co. Jail	3.1	1.2	2.1	1.0
Santa Clara Co. Elmwood Corr. Complex	2.2	1.1	0.6	0.6
Santa Clara Co. Main Jail - North	2.9	1.3	1.2	0.8
Ventura Co. Jail - Todd Road	1.1	0.7	1.6	0.8
Colorado				
Adams Co. Det. Fac.	3.7	1.6	1.0	0.6
Arapahoe Co. Jail	3.0	1.1	0.2	0.2
El Paso Co. Det. Fac.	1.7	0.8	1.3	0.7
Garfield Co. Jail	4.0	1.7	3.0	1.2
District of Columbia				
D.C. Dept. of Corr. Jail	3.1	1.5	3.2	1.5
Florida				
Alachua Co. Jail	2.9	1.1	0.9	0.6
Brevard Co. Det. Ctr.	6.7	1.7	4.4	1.5
Broward Co. Conte Corr. Fac.	1.9	1.0	1.1	0.8
Broward Co. Main Jail	1.7	1.4	4.1	2.1
Broward Co. North Jail - Pompano Beach	3.8	1.5	0.8	0.5
Collier Co. Jail	1.5	0.7	4.2	1.7
Dixie Co. Jail	5.3	2.4	1.2	0.8
Hillsborough Co. Falkenburg Road Jail	1.6	0.8	0.8	0.6
Jackson Co. Corr. Fac.	0.9	0.6	0.7	0.5
Jacksonville City Montgomery Corr. Ctr.	1.4	0.8	1.4	0.7
Marion Co. Jail	2.7	1.0	3.2	1.1
Miami-Dade Co. Metro West Det. Ctr.	0.0	0.0	2.5	1.3
Miami-Dade Co. Turner Guilford Knight Corr. Ctr.	2.4	1.5	4.6	1.8
Orange Co. 33rd Street Corr. Ctr.	1.4	0.7	1.9	1.0
Pinellas Co. Central Division Fac.	0.0	0.0	2.4	1.1
Pinellas Co. South Fac. (Max. Sec.)	2.2	1.3	2.7	1.5
Sarasota North Co. Jail	5.0	1.6	1.2	0.8
Seminole Co. John E. Polk Corr. Fac.	3.7	1.5	1.9	1.0
South Co. Jail	0.6	0.6	4.3	1.6
St. Johns Co. Jail	1.2	0.7	1.5	0.9

Appendix table 4. Percent of local jail inmates reporting sexual victimization, by type of incident and facility, National Inmate Survey, 2007 (cont.)

Facility name	Inmate-on-inmate ^a		Staff-on-inmate ^a	
	Percent victimized	Standard error ^b	Percent victimized	Standard error ^b
Georgia				
Atlanta City Jail	6.2	2.9	3.2	2.1
Bartow Co. Jail	1.4	0.8	2.0	1.0
Carroll Co. Jail	1.5	0.8	0.7	0.6
Cobb Co. Sheriff's Office Jail & Prison Unit	3.5	1.3	2.4	1.1
Coweta Co. Jail	1.8	1.0	1.9	1.0
Dekalb Co. Jail	2.5	1.3	1.0	0.7
Dougherty Co. Jail	0.3	0.2	2.1	1.0
Fulton Co. Jail	3.0	1.2	4.0	1.4
Gwinnett Co. Jail	2.7	1.1	1.9	0.9
Muscogee Co. Jail	2.3	1.0	0.4	0.4
Paulding Co. Det. Ctr.	3.5	1.8	5.4	2.1
Richmond Co. Corr. Inst.	1.6	0.8	0.7	0.5
Idaho				
Bingham Co. Jail	0.0	0.0	5.2	2.3
Illinois				
Cook Co. Jail - Division 2	0.7	0.4	1.9	0.9
Cook Co. Jail - Division 11	1.5	0.8	2.4	1.1
Will Co. Adult Det. Fac.	1.8	0.9	5.6	1.9
Indiana				
Daviess Co. Jail	1.1	0.7	1.5	0.7
Hamilton Co. Jail	1.7	0.9	1.9	1.1
Hendricks Co. Jail	3.1	1.5	1.1	0.9
Lake Co. Jail	2.3	1.1	3.1	1.3
Marion Co. Jail Intake Fac.	0.0	0.0	4.1	1.8
Wayne Co. Jail	5.5	1.7	1.9	0.9
Kentucky				
Boyd Co. Jail	4.6	1.5	1.6	0.9
Daviess Co. Det. Ctr.	1.1	0.9	1.3	0.8
Grant Co. Jail	1.4	0.8	1.8	1.0
Hardin Co. Det. Ctr.	1.1	0.7	1.4	0.7
Kentucky River Reg. Jail	1.2	0.7	4.0	1.5
Lexington-Fayette Co. Det. Ctr.	2.1	1.2	4.5	1.6
Louisville-Jefferson Co. Dept. of Corr.	1.8	1.0	2.5	1.6
Warren Co. Reg. Jail	0.0	0.0	3.8	1.7
Louisiana				
Ascension Parish Jail	0.7	0.5	0.7	0.5
Caldwell Parish Jails - (2 facilities)	2.3	0.9	4.5	1.3
Catahoula Corr. Ctr. ^d	1.3	0.6	0.8	0.5
East Baton Rouge Prison	2.8	1.1	1.4	0.7
Franklin Parish Jail	1.9	0.8	2.0	0.8
La Fourche Parish Jail	3.7	0.9	4.0	0.9
Lafayette Parish Corr. Center	2.7	1.0	2.8	1.0
St. Bernard Parish Prison	1.9	0.8	1.9	0.8
St. Tammany Parish Jail	4.1	1.4	2.3	1.0
Terrebonne Parish Jail	3.7	1.0	2.0	0.8
Maine				
Androscoggin Co. Jail	5.1	2.0	2.7	1.3
Maryland				
Anne Arundel Co. Dept. of Det. Fac.	1.2	0.7	1.6	0.8
Baltimore City Det. Ctr.	0.0	0.0	3.5	1.4
Montgomery Co. Corr. Fac.	0.6	0.5	3.2	1.1
Washington Co. Det. Ctr.	0.5	0.4	3.0	1.3
Massachusetts				
Barnstable Co. Corr. Fac.	1.8	0.8	1.2	0.7
Berkshire Co. Jail & House of Corr.	2.4	0.9	2.9	1.1
Plymouth Co. Corr. Fac.	0.0	0.0	2.3	1.1
Worcester Co. Jail & House of Corr.	2.1	1.0	2.6	1.3
Michigan				
Kalamazoo Co. Jail	1.5	1.1	2.6	1.2
Kent Co. Corr. Fac.	1.5	0.8	3.5	1.2
Montmorency Co. Jail	3.6	1.7	0.0	0.0

Appendix table 4. Percent of local jail inmates reporting sexual victimization, by type of incident and facility, National Inmate Survey, 2007 (cont.)

Facility name	Inmate-on-inmate ^a		Staff-on-inmate ^a	
	Percent victimized	Standard error ^b	Percent victimized	Standard error ^b
Minnesota				
Hennepin Co. Adult Det. Ctr.	0.5	0.4	2.6	1.2
Mississippi				
Madison Co. Jail	1.8	0.7	3.2	1.3
Montana				
Cascade Co. Reg. Jail	3.1	1.3	0.7	0.6
Nebraska				
Douglas Dept. of Corr.	2.1	1.1	2.3	1.1
Nevada				
Clark Co. Det. Ctr.	0.4	0.4	2.2	1.1
Washoe Co. Det. Ctr.	1.0	0.5	2.4	1.0
New Hampshire				
Hillsborough Co. House of Corr.	1.3	0.8	1.6	0.8
New Jersey				
Atlantic Co. Gerard L. Gormley Justice Fac.	2.5	1.2	2.2	1.3
Camden Co. Corr. Fac.	0.8	0.6	1.4	0.8
Essex Co. Corr. Fac.	0.4	0.3	1.3	0.8
Hudson Co. Corr. Fac.	0.9	0.6	2.2	1.0
Mercer Co. Corr. Ctr.	1.1	0.6	2.2	0.9
Morris Co. Corr. Fac.	1.3	0.7	1.1	0.7
Union Co. Jail	0.7	0.6	3.0	1.7
New Mexico				
Bernalillo Co. Metropolitan Det. Ctr.	3.8	2.2	6.7	2.5
Santa Fe Co. Adult Corr. Fac.	1.2	0.7	3.7	1.3
Torrance Co. Det. Fac. ^d	6.4	3.1	7.0	3.0
New York				
Albany Co. Corr. Fac.	0.0	0.0	3.1	1.3
Erie Co. Corr. Fac.	2.8	1.1	1.7	0.8
Erie Co. Holding Ctr.	1.9	1.0	4.5	1.5
Franklin Co. Jail	2.2	0.7	6.4	1.3
New York City Anna M. Kross Ctr.	2.1	1.2	3.0	1.3
New York City Otis Bantum Corr. Ctr.	0.0	0.0	2.8	1.1
New York City Rose M. Singer Ctr. ^c	5.5	1.5	2.9	1.1
Oswego Co. Corr. Fac.	1.8	0.7	0.9	0.5
Westchester Co. Penitentiary	0.0	0.0	2.7	1.0
North Carolina				
Cleveland Co.	1.6	0.8	5.4	1.9
Mecklenburg Co. Jail	1.5	0.8	2.2	1.2
Mecklenburg Co. Jail - North	2.2	1.2	4.8	1.8
Wake Co. Jail	0.4	0.4	3.5	1.3
North Dakota				
Cass Co. Jail	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.7
Ohio				
Franklin Co. Corrections Ctr. I	3.7	1.7	1.0	0.7
Hamilton Co. Justice Ctr.	0.9	0.8	2.4	1.1
Hamilton Co. Talbert House Drug & Alcohol Treatment	4.9	1.1	1.9	0.7
River City Corr. Fac.	1.7	0.7	1.6	0.6
Southeastern Ohio Reg. Jail	2.5	1.2	6.9	1.9
Oklahoma				
Oklahoma Co. Det. Ctr.	2.9	1.1	1.6	0.9
Rogers Co. Jail	1.7	0.7	2.7	1.1
Oregon				
Marion Co. Corr. Fac.	1.5	0.9	1.5	0.7
Pennsylvania				
Allegheny Co. Jail	1.0	0.7	1.2	0.6
Lancaster Co. Prison	1.6	0.9	2.6	1.1
Montgomery Co. Corr. Fac.	2.8	1.2	0.0	0.0
Philadelphia City Alternative & Special Det. Fac.	3.5	1.5	0.6	0.5
Philadelphia City Curran/Fromhold Corr. Fac.	1.8	1.0	2.1	1.0
Philadelphia City Industrial Corr. Ctr.	4.0	1.3	3.4	1.3
York Co. Prison	2.0	1.0	0.0	0.0

Appendix table 4. Percent of local jail inmates reporting sexual victimization, by type of incident and facility, National Inmate Survey, 2007 (cont.)

Facility name	Inmate-on-inmate ^a		Staff-on-inmate ^a	
	Percent victimized	Standard error ^b	Percent victimized	Standard error ^b
South Carolina				
Beaufort Co. Det. Ctr.	0.7	0.5	1.3	0.6
Berkeley Co. Hill-Finklea Det. Ctr.	0.0	0.0	2.1	1.0
Florence Co. Det. Ctr.	0.6	0.5	3.1	1.1
Sumter-Lee Reg. Det. Ctr.	3.2	1.3	1.1	0.9
South Dakota				
Pennington Co. Jail	2.1	1.2	2.2	1.1
Tennessee				
Madison Co. Penal Farm	2.6	1.1	0.0	0.0
Shelby Co. Corr. Ctr.	2.1	0.9	3.2	1.6
Shelby Co. Justice Ctr.	0.0	0.0	2.1	0.9
Sullivan Co. Jail	1.4	0.7	1.1	0.7
Tipton Co. Jail	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.6
Warren Co. Jail	3.6	1.3	0.7	0.5
Texas				
Bowie Co. Corr. Ctr.	2.3	1.0	1.1	0.6
Dallas Co. Decker Det. Ctr.	0.8	0.5	0.9	0.7
Dallas Co. George Allen Jail	2.7	1.4	0.5	0.3
Dallas Co. North Tower Jail	3.0	1.2	2.1	1.0
Dallas Co. West Tower Jail	3.0	1.4	2.2	1.2
El Paso Co. Jail Annex	1.3	0.7	2.7	1.1
Galveston Co. Jail	2.9	1.2	1.1	0.7
Gregg Co. Jail	1.7	0.9	2.0	1.1
Harris Co. Jail	2.2	1.3	1.6	0.9
Harris Co. Jail - Baker Street	2.5	1.0	3.0	1.2
Jefferson Co. Det. Ctr.	1.0	0.6	2.8	1.0
Montgomery Co. Jail	2.2	1.0	1.2	0.6
Newton Co. Corr. Ctr. ^d	0.4	0.3	0.8	0.5
Tarrant Co. Corr. Ctr.	1.1	0.8	2.6	1.2
Travis Co. Corr. Fac.	4.6	1.5	2.5	1.1
Utah				
Weber Co. Corr. Fac.	3.1	1.3	2.5	1.2
Virginia				
Duffield Reg. Jail Fac.	3.0	1.2	0.5	0.4
Prince William-Manassas Reg. Adult Corr. Ctr.	1.2	0.7	2.4	1.1
Richmond City Jail	2.9	1.1	3.2	1.2
Roanoke City Jail	4.0	1.7	2.0	1.1
Washington				
Clark Co. Jail	5.1	1.7	4.0	1.4
King Co. Corr. Fac.	2.7	1.2	2.4	0.9
Whatcom Co. Jail	0.8	0.4	4.8	1.4
West Virginia				
Western Reg. Jail	1.4	0.8	3.2	1.4
Wisconsin				
Dane Co. Jail	0.4	0.4	3.2	1.2
Marathon Co. Adult Det. Fac.	1.0	0.6	2.9	1.2
Waukesha Co. Jail	1.1	0.6	2.0	1.0
Waupaca Co. Jail	0.9	0.5	1.1	0.7

Note: Excludes facilities with rates of sexual victimization not statistically different from zero at the 95% confidence level. Detail may sum to more than total because victims may have reported both inmate-on-inmate and staff-on-inmate sexual victimization.

^aIncludes all types of sexual victimization, including oral, anal, or vaginal penetration, touching of the inmate's buttocks, thighs, penis, breasts, or vagina in a sexual way and other sexual acts.

^bStandard errors may be used to construct confidence intervals around weighted survey estimates. (See *Methodology*.)

^cFemale facility.

^dPrivate facility.

Appendix table 5. Percent of local jail inmates reporting nonconsensual sexual acts, by type of incident and facility, National Inmate Survey, 2007

Facility name	Inmate-on-inmate		Staff-on-inmate	
	Percent victimized ^a	Standard error ^b	Percent victimized ^c	Standard error ^b
Total	0.7%	0.1%	1.6%	0.1%
Alabama				
Etowah Co. Det. Ctr.	0.4	0.3	0.0	0.0
Jackson Co. Jail	0.8	0.4	1.3	0.5
Shelby Co. Jail	1.3	0.8	0.0	0.0
Arizona				
Coconino Co. Jail	0.0	0.0	1.7	0.9
Maricopa Co. Jail - 4th Avenue	0.9	0.6	0.8	0.5
Maricopa Co. Jail - Durango	0.5	0.4	0.9	0.6
Maricopa Co. Jail - Estrella ^d	1.8	1.0	0.4	0.4
Maricopa Co. Jail - Lower Buckeye	0.0	0.0	2.6	1.0
California				
Alameda Co. Santa Rita Jail	0.6	0.6	1.3	1.2
Fresno Co. Det. Fac. - Main Jail	0.6	0.6	1.5	1.0
Imperial Co. Jail	0.8	0.7	2.0	1.2
Kern Co. Lerdo Pre-Trial Fac.	0.9	0.6	2.0	0.9
Los Angeles Co. Mens Central Jail	0.3	0.3	1.3	1.0
Los Angeles Co. North Corr. Fac.	0.6	0.5	2.9	1.1
Los Angeles Co. Twin Towers Corr. Fac.	1.4	1.4	1.3	1.0
Orange Co. Central Jail Complex	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.7
Orange Co. James A. Musick Fac.	1.1	0.7	1.4	0.7
Riverside Co. Larry D. Smith Corr. Ctr.	0.7	0.6	1.6	0.9
Riverside Co. Robert Presley Det. Ctr.	2.2	1.0	2.6	1.7
Sacramento Co. Rio Cosumnes Corr. Ctr.	0.8	0.6	1.6	1.1
Sacramento Co. Main Jail	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.7
San Bernardino Co. W. Valley Det. Ctr.	2.2	1.6	1.6	0.9
San Bernardino Co. Glen Helen Rehab. Ctr.	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.8
San Bernardino Co. Central Det. Ctr.	0.4	0.3	1.3	0.6
San Diego Co. George F. Bailey Det. Fac.	1.5	0.7	1.7	0.8
San Diego Co. Las Colinas Women's Det. Fac. ^d	1.2	0.7	2.1	1.2
San Francisco Co. Jail - No. 1	0.7	0.6	0.8	0.7
Santa Barbara Co. Jail	2.6	1.1	2.1	1.0
Santa Clara Co. Elmwood Corr. Complex	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.6
Santa Clara Co. Main Jail - North	2.2	1.2	1.2	0.8
Ventura Co. Jail - Todd Road	0.6	0.5	1.6	0.8
Colorado				
Adams Co. Det. Fac.	1.9	0.9	1.0	0.6
Arapahoe Co. Jail	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0
El Paso Co. Det. Fac.	1.3	0.7	1.3	0.7
Garfield Co. Jail	1.5	0.9	3.0	1.2
District of Columbia				
D.C. Dept. of Corr. Jail	2.0	1.3	2.3	1.4
Florida				
Alachua Co. Jail	1.0	0.6	0.9	0.6
Brevard Co. Det. Ctr.	4.5	1.3	4.4	1.5
Broward Co. Conte Corr. Fac.	0.8	0.7	0.3	0.3
Broward Co. Main Jail	0.0	0.0	2.5	1.9
Broward Co. North Jail - Pompano Beach	3.0	1.4	0.8	0.5
Collier Co. Jail	1.1	0.7	4.2	1.7
Dixie Co. Jail	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.8
Hillsborough Co. Falkenburg Road Jail	0.9	0.6	0.8	0.6
Jackson Co. Corr. Fac.	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.5
Jacksonville City Montgomery Corr. Ctr.	0.8	0.6	0.8	0.5
Marion Co. Jail	1.2	0.7	2.2	0.9
Miami-Dade Co. Metro West Det. Ctr.	0.0	0.0	2.5	1.3
Miami-Dade Co. Turner Guilford Knight Corr. Ctr.	0.5	0.5	3.8	1.7
Orange Co. 33rd Street Corr. Ctr.	0.3	0.3	1.9	1.0
Pinellas Co. Central Division Fac.	0.0	0.0	2.4	1.1
Pinellas Co. South Fac. (Max. Sec.)	2.2	1.3	2.7	1.5
Sarasota North Co. Jail	1.3	0.8	1.2	0.8
Seminole Co. John E. Polk Corr. Fac.	2.4	1.2	1.9	1.0
South Co. Jail	0.0	0.0	2.9	1.4
St. Johns Co. Jail	0.7	0.6	1.5	0.9

Appendix table 5. Percent of local jail inmates reporting nonconsensual sexual acts, by type of incident and facility, National Inmate Survey, 2007 (cont.)

Facility name	Inmate-on-inmate		Staff-on-inmate	
	Percent victimized ^a	Standard error ^b	Percent victimized ^c	Standard error ^b
Georgia				
Atlanta City Jail	4.8	2.9	3.2	2.1
Bartow Co. Jail	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.7
Carroll Co. Jail	1.5	0.8	0.7	0.6
Cobb Co. Sheriff's Office Jail & Prison Unit	1.3	0.7	1.2	0.7
Coweta Co. Jail	0.0	0.0	1.9	1.0
Dekalb Co. Jail	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.7
Dougherty Co. Jail	0.3	0.2	1.7	0.9
Fulton Co. Jail	1.3	0.9	3.5	1.3
Gwinnett Co. Jail	1.0	0.6	0.5	0.5
Muscogee Co. Jail	1.0	0.7	0.4	0.4
Paulding Co. Det. Ctr.	2.8	1.7	3.5	1.8
Richmond Co. Corr. Inst.	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.5
Idaho				
Bingham Co. Jail	0.0	0.0	2.0	1.5
Illinois				
Cook Co. Jail - Division 2	0.7	0.4	1.9	0.9
Cook Co. Jail - Division 11	1.1	0.7	2.4	1.1
Will Co. Adult Det. Fac.	0.9	0.6	3.8	1.7
Indiana				
Daviess Co. Jail	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.7
Hamilton Co. Jail	0.8	0.6	1.9	1.1
Hendricks Co. Jail	2.1	1.2	1.1	0.9
Lake Co. Jail	1.4	0.9	3.1	1.3
Marion Co. Jail Intake Fac.	0.0	0.0	4.1	1.8
Wayne Co. Jail	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.8
Kentucky				
Boyd Co. Jail	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.9
Daviess Co. Det. Ctr.	0.0	0.0	1.3	0.8
Grant Co. Jail	0.7	0.6	1.0	0.8
Hardin Co. Det. Ctr.	0.0	0.0	1.4	0.7
Kentucky River Reg. Jail	0.7	0.6	4.0	1.5
Lexington-Fayette Co. Det. Ctr.	1.5	1.0	4.5	1.6
Louisville-Jefferson Co. Dept. of Corr.	0.4	0.3	2.5	1.6
Warren Co. Reg. Jail	0.0	0.0	2.4	1.5
Louisiana				
Ascension Parish Jail	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.5
Caldwell Parish Jails - (2 facilities)	0.7	0.5	4.3	1.3
Catahoula Corr. Ctr. ^e	1.3	0.6	0.8	0.5
East Baton Rouge Prison	1.0	0.6	0.9	0.6
Franklin Parish Jail	0.4	0.4	2.0	0.8
La Fourche Parish Jail	0.4	0.2	4.0	0.9
Lafayette Parish Corr. Center	1.5	0.8	2.4	0.9
St. Bernard Parish Prison	1.0	0.5	0.0	0.0
St. Tammany Parish Jail	1.7	0.9	1.5	0.8
Terrebonne Parish Jail	1.4	0.6	0.8	0.4
Maine				
Androscoggin Co. Jail	3.5	1.7	2.7	1.3
Maryland				
Anne Arundel Co. Dept. of Det. Fac.	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.7
Baltimore City Det. Ctr.	0.0	0.0	2.9	1.3
Montgomery Co. Corr. Fac.	0.6	0.5	2.5	0.9
Washington Co. Det. Ctr.	0.5	0.4	2.4	1.2
Massachusetts				
Barnstable Co. Corr. Fac.	0.6	0.5	1.2	0.7
Berkshire Co. Jail & House of Corr.	1.3	0.7	2.4	1.1
Plymouth Co. Corr. Fac.	0.0	0.0	1.8	1.0
Worcester Co. Jail & House of Corr.	1.5	0.8	0.5	0.4
Michigan				
Kalamazoo Co. Jail	1.5	1.1	1.7	0.9
Kent Co. Corr. Fac.	1.1	0.8	2.7	1.1
Montmorency Co. Jail	3.6	1.7	0.0	0.0

Appendix table 5. Percent of local jail inmates reporting nonconsensual sexual acts, by type of incident and facility, National Inmate Survey, 2007 (cont.)

Facility name	Inmate-on-inmate		Staff-on-inmate	
	Percent victimized ^a	Standard error ^b	Percent victimized ^c	Standard error ^b
Minnesota				
Hennepin Co. Adult Det. Ctr.	0.5	0.4	2.6	1.2
Mississippi				
Madison Co. Jail	0.8	0.5	1.6	0.9
Montana				
Cascade Co. Reg. Jail	0.5	0.4	0.7	0.6
Nebraska				
Douglas Dept. of Corr.	0.6	0.6	1.7	1.0
Nevada				
Clark Co. Det. Ctr.	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.8
Washoe Co. Det. Ctr.	0.7	0.4	0.9	0.6
New Hampshire				
Hillsborough Co. House of Corr.	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.7
New Jersey				
Atlantic Co. Gerard L. Gormley Justice Fac.	2.0	1.1	2.2	1.3
Camden Co. Corr. Fac.	0.3	0.3	1.4	0.8
Essex Co. Corr. Fac.	0.3	0.3	1.0	0.7
Hudson Co. Corr. Fac.	0.5	0.5	2.2	1.0
Mercer Co. Corr. Ctr.	0.3	0.3	2.2	0.9
Morris Co. Corr. Fac.	0.7	0.6	1.1	0.7
Union Co. Jail	0.7	0.6	3.0	1.7
New Mexico				
Bernalillo Co. Metropolitan Det. Ctr.	2.4	1.7	5.8	2.4
Santa Fe Co. Adult Corr. Fac.	0.6	0.5	3.7	1.3
Torrance Co. Det. Fac. ^e	4.7	2.7	4.2	2.1
New York				
Albany Co. Corr. Fac.	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.9
Erie Co. Corr. Fac.	1.2	0.6	1.7	0.8
Erie Co. Holding Ctr.	0.6	0.5	3.2	1.3
Franklin Co. Jail	1.2	0.6	5.3	1.2
New York City Anna M. Kross Ctr.	1.4	1.0	3.0	1.3
New York City Otis Bantum Corr. Ctr.	0.0	0.0	2.8	1.1
New York City Rose M. Singer Ctr. ^d	1.3	0.7	1.5	0.7
Oswego Co. Corr. Fac.	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.5
Westchester Co. Penitentiary	0.0	0.0	2.1	0.9
North Carolina				
Cleveland Co.	1.6	0.8	4.8	1.8
Mecklenburg Co. Jail	0.0	0.0	1.5	1.0
Mecklenburg Co. Jail - North	2.2	1.2	1.3	0.8
Wake Co. Jail	0.0	0.0	1.8	1.0
North Dakota				
Cass Co. Jail	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.5
Ohio				
Franklin Co. Corrections Ctr. I	2.4	1.2	1.0	0.7
Hamilton Co. Justice Ctr.	0.0	0.0	2.4	1.1
Hamilton Co. Talbert House Drug & Alcohol Treatment	1.0	0.5	1.9	0.7
River City Corr. Fac.	0.9	0.5	1.6	0.6
Southeastern Ohio Reg. Jail	1.2	0.9	5.8	1.8
Oklahoma				
Oklahoma Co. Det. Ctr.	1.0	0.7	1.2	0.8
Rogers Co. Jail	1.0	0.6	1.4	0.8
Oregon				
Marion Co. Corr. Fac.	0.8	0.7	1.2	0.7
Pennsylvania				
Allegheny Co. Jail	0.5	0.5	1.2	0.6
Lancaster Co. Prison	0.0	0.0	2.1	1.0
Montgomery Co. Corr. Fac.	1.9	1.1	0.0	0.0
Philadelphia City Alternative & Special Det. Fac.	1.2	1.0	0.6	0.5
Philadelphia City Curran/Fromhold Corr. Fac.	0.0	0.0	2.1	1.0
Philadelphia City Industrial Corr. Ctr.	2.4	1.0	3.4	1.3
York Co. Prison	1.3	0.9	0.0	0.0

Appendix table 5. Percent of local jail inmates reporting nonconsensual sexual acts, by type of incident and facility, National Inmate Survey, 2007 (cont.)

Facility name	Inmate-on-inmate		Staff-on-inmate	
	Percent victimized ^a	Standard error ^b	Percent victimized ^c	Standard error ^b
South Carolina				
Beaufort Co. Det. Ctr.	0.7	0.5	1.3	0.6
Berkeley Co. Hill-Finklea Det. Ctr.	0.0	0.0	1.4	0.8
Florence Co. Det. Ctr.	0.0	0.0	2.5	1.0
Sumter-Lee Reg. Det. Ctr.	1.8	1.0	1.1	0.9
South Dakota				
Pennington Co. Jail	1.0	0.8	1.1	0.6
Tennessee				
Madison Co. Penal Farm	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Shelby Co. Corr. Ctr.	1.2	0.6	2.7	1.5
Shelby Co. Justice Ctr.	0.0	0.0	1.3	0.8
Sullivan Co. Jail	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.7
Tipton Co. Jail	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.6
Warren Co. Jail	2.1	1.1	0.7	0.5
Texas				
Bowie Co. Corr. Ctr.	0.5	0.5	1.1	0.6
Dallas Co. Decker Det. Ctr.	0.5	0.4	0.9	0.7
Dallas Co. George Allen Jail	1.6	1.0	0.2	0.2
Dallas Co. North Tower Jail	1.1	0.8	2.1	1.0
Dallas Co. West Tower Jail	1.6	1.1	1.0	1.0
El Paso Co. Jail Annex	0.9	0.6	1.2	0.8
Galveston Co. Jail	1.2	0.8	0.6	0.6
Gregg Co. Jail	1.0	0.7	2.0	1.1
Harris Co. Jail	0.7	0.7	1.6	0.9
Harris Co. Jail - Baker Street	2.5	1.0	2.5	1.1
Jefferson Co. Det. Ctr.	0.6	0.5	2.0	0.8
Montgomery Co. Jail	1.1	0.7	1.2	0.6
Newton Co. Corr. Ctr. ^e	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.5
Tarrant Co. Corr. Ctr.	0.8	0.7	2.6	1.2
Travis Co. Corr. Fac.	1.5	0.8	1.9	1.0
Utah				
Weber Co. Corr. Fac.	1.0	0.9	2.1	1.1
Virginia				
Duffield Reg. Jail Fac.	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.4
Prince William-Manassas Reg. Adult Corr. Ctr.	1.2	0.7	1.8	0.9
Richmond City Jail	1.4	0.7	2.7	1.1
Roanoke City Jail	0.0	0.0	1.4	0.9
Washington				
Clark Co. Jail	0.0	0.0	3.4	1.3
King Co. Corr. Fac.	2.1	1.0	1.8	0.8
Whatcom Co. Jail	0.0	0.0	4.4	1.4
West Virginia				
Western Reg. Jail	0.7	0.6	2.1	1.0
Wisconsin				
Dane Co. Jail	0.4	0.4	2.2	1.0
Marathon Co. Adult Det. Fac.	0.7	0.6	2.9	1.2
Waukesha Co. Jail	0.5	0.4	2.0	1.0
Waupaca Co. Jail	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Note: Excludes facilities with rates of sexual victimization not statistically different from zero at the 95% confidence level.

^aIncludes reports of oral, anal, or vaginal penetration, handjobs, and other sexual acts by another inmate.

^bStandard errors may be used to construct confidence intervals around weighted survey estimates. (See Methodology.)

^cIncludes all reports of staff sexual misconduct including oral, anal, or vaginal penetration, handjobs, and other sexual acts.

^dFemale facility.

^ePrivate facility.

Appendix table 6. Percent of local jail inmates reporting sexual victimization, by type of incident, level of coercion, and facility, National Inmate Survey, 2007

Facility name	Inmate-on-inmate		Staff-on-inmate		Without force or pressure ^b
	Physically forced	Pressured ^a	Physically forced	Pressured ^a	
Total	1.1%	1.1%	0.8%	1.2%	1.1%
Alabama					
Etowah Co. Det. Ctr.	1.5	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
Jackson Co. Jail	1.8	1.8	1.3	1.3	0.0
Shelby Co. Jail	1.9	1.9	0.6	0.6	0.0
Arizona					
Coconino Co. Jail	0.0	0.0	1.3	0.0	1.7
Maricopa Co. Jail - 4th Avenue	1.3	1.4	0.3	0.8	0.7
Maricopa Co. Jail - Durango	1.6	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.0
Maricopa Co. Jail - Estrella ^c	1.3	2.2	0.4	0.4	0.0
Maricopa Co. Jail - Lower Buckeye	0.4	0.0	2.3	1.9	1.3
California					
Alameda Co. Santa Rita Jail	0.9	1.5	1.3	1.3	0.0
Fresno Co. Det. Fac. - Main Jail	1.0	1.6	1.5	1.5	1.5
Imperial Co. Jail	0.8	1.3	1.2	2.0	2.0
Kern Co. Lerdo Pre-Trial Fac.	1.4	0.5	2.0	2.0	1.2
Los Angeles Co. Mens Central Jail	0.3	0.7	2.5	1.8	1.7
Los Angeles Co. North Corr. Fac.	0.9	1.4	1.0	1.5	1.8
Los Angeles Co. Twin Towers Corr. Fac.	0.6	4.5	0.4	1.0	0.4
Orange Co. Central Jail Complex	0.7	3.0	1.0	1.0	0.0
Orange Co. James A. Musick Fac.	1.3	1.1	1.4	1.4	0.4
Riverside Co. Larry D. Smith Corr. Ctr.	1.2	0.7	1.3	0.7	1.6
Riverside Co. Robert Presley Det. Ctr.	2.4	3.2	2.6	3.3	3.0
Sacramento Co. Rio Cosumnes Corr. Ctr.	0.8	0.4	0.0	0.9	1.6
Sacramento Co. Main Jail	0.0	0.0	0.6	2.0	0.5
San Bernardino Co. W. Valley Det. Ctr.	2.3	3.4	1.3	1.3	1.9
San Bernardino Co. Glen Helen Rehab. Ctr.	1.3	0.0	1.2	1.2	0.5
San Bernardino Co. Central Det. Ctr.	0.4	0.0	0.6	1.3	0.9
San Diego Co. George F. Bailey Det. Fac.	1.6	2.2	1.6	3.1	1.4
San Diego Co. Las Colinas Women's Det. Fac. ^c	3.2	2.7	2.8	3.2	0.5
San Francisco Co. Jail - No. 1	0.8	2.6	1.5	1.5	0.0
Santa Barbara Co. Jail	3.1	3.1	1.6	2.1	0.4
Santa Clara Co. Elmwood Corr. Complex	1.5	2.2	0.0	0.6	0.0
Santa Clara Co. Main Jail - North	2.2	2.9	1.2	1.2	0.5
Ventura Co. Jail - Todd Road	0.6	1.1	0.5	0.6	1.5
Colorado					
Adams Co. Det. Fac.	2.8	1.3	1.0	1.0	0.4
Arapahoe Co. Jail	2.5	2.8	0.0	0.2	0.2
El Paso Co. Det. Fac.	1.7	0.8	0.4	0.9	0.9
Garfield Co. Jail	4.0	4.0	1.5	3.0	0.0
District of Columbia					
D.C. Dept. of Corr. Jail	2.1	3.1	0.6	2.2	0.4
Florida					
Alachua Co. Jail	2.4	2.9	0.9	0.9	0.0
Brevard Co. Det. Ctr.	4.1	6.3	1.5	2.6	3.3
Broward Co. Conte Corr. Fac.	0.0	1.9	0.3	0.3	0.8
Broward Co. Main Jail	0.0	1.7	2.8	1.4	0.7
Broward Co. North Jail - Pompano Beach	0.8	3.3	0.3	0.8	0.4
Collier Co. Jail	0.3	1.5	2.0	3.5	2.2
Dixie Co. Jail	5.3	0.0	1.2	1.2	0.0
Hillsborough Co. Falkenburg Road Jail	0.8	1.6	0.0	0.8	0.0
Jackson Co. Corr. Fac.	0.9	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.7
Jacksonville City Montgomery Corr. Ctr.	1.4	0.0	0.8	0.6	0.4
Marion Co. Jail	1.8	1.6	1.4	0.8	0.9
Miami-Dade Co. Metro West Det. Ctr.	0.0	0.0	0.6	1.5	0.0
Miami-Dade Co. Turner Guilford Knight Corr. Ctr.	1.9	1.9	1.5	3.5	1.8
Orange Co. 33rd Street Corr. Ctr.	0.6	1.1	0.9	1.5	0.5
Pinellas Co. Central Division Fac.	0.0	0.0	1.3	1.0	1.1
Pinellas Co. South Fac. (Max. Sec.)	1.1	2.2	0.0	2.7	0.5
Sarasota North Co. Jail	3.7	2.6	0.0	0.6	0.6
Seminole Co. John E. Polk Corr. Fac.	1.9	2.3	0.6	1.3	0.0
South Co. Jail	0.6	0.6	0.0	2.2	2.8
St. Johns Co. Jail	1.2	0.7	0.7	1.5	0.0

Appendix table 6. Percent of local jail inmates reporting sexual victimization, by type of incident, level of coercion, and facility, National Inmate Survey, 2007 (cont.)

Facility name	Inmate-on-inmate		Staff-on-inmate		Without force or pressure ^b
	Physically forced	Pressured ^a	Physically forced	Pressured ^a	
Georgia					
Atlanta City Jail	5.4	5.6	0.9	2.4	2.4
Bartow Co. Jail	1.4	0.6	0.6	0.6	2.0
Carroll Co. Jail	1.5	1.5	0.7	0.7	0.0
Cobb Co. Sheriff's Office Jail & Prison Unit	1.7	3.5	1.2	1.6	0.9
Coweta Co. Jail	1.8	0.8	1.1	1.9	1.1
Dekalb Co. Jail	1.6	1.4	0.0	0.4	1.0
Dougherty Co. Jail	0.0	0.3	0.4	0.0	2.1
Fulton Co. Jail	1.7	2.6	2.7	2.4	1.9
Gwinnett Co. Jail	1.8	1.9	0.5	1.4	0.5
Muscogee Co. Jail	0.8	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.4
Paulding Co. Det. Ctr.	3.5	2.3	3.4	4.1	2.0
Richmond Co. Corr. Inst.	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7
Idaho					
Bingham Co. Jail	0.0	0.0	1.6	5.2	1.6
Illinois					
Cook Co. Jail - Division 2	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.7	1.3
Cook Co. Jail - Division 11	1.1	1.5	2.0	1.2	1.6
Will Co. Adult Det. Fac.	0.9	0.9	1.1	3.5	2.0
Indiana					
Daviess Co. Jail	1.1	1.1	0.7	0.7	0.8
Hamilton Co. Jail	0.9	1.7	1.1	0.9	1.1
Hendricks Co. Jail	2.1	2.1	1.1	1.1	1.1
Lake Co. Jail	1.8	1.4	1.7	1.7	2.5
Marion Co. Jail Intake Fac.	0.0	0.0	2.9	2.9	3.0
Wayne Co. Jail	4.9	3.6	1.5	1.9	1.3
Kentucky					
Boyd Co. Jail	2.5	4.6	0.0	0.8	1.6
Daviess Co. Det. Ctr.	1.1	0.0	0.6	0.6	1.3
Grant Co. Jail	1.4	1.4	0.0	0.0	1.8
Hardin Co. Det. Ctr.	1.1	0.6	0.5	0.9	0.4
Kentucky River Reg. Jail	1.2	0.7	3.2	2.6	0.9
Lexington-Fayette Co. Det. Ctr.	1.5	2.1	1.2	1.2	4.1
Louisville-Jefferson Co. Dept. of Corr.	1.5	1.8	0.5	0.0	2.0
Warren Co. Reg. Jail	0.0	0.0	0.6	1.4	2.4
Louisiana					
Ascension Parish Jail	0.7	0.0	0.7	0.7	0.0
Caldwell Parish Jails - (2 facilities)	1.7	0.9	1.4	2.3	3.6
Catahoula Corr. Ctr. ^d	0.9	1.3	0.4	0.4	0.4
East Baton Rouge Prison	2.8	1.4	0.5	0.9	0.5
Franklin Parish Jail	1.5	1.5	0.9	1.0	0.5
La Fourche Parish Jail	2.1	2.2	0.6	2.0	2.0
Lafayette Parish Corr. Center	2.4	2.3	1.4	0.9	1.4
St. Bernard Parish Prison	1.9	1.0	1.9	1.9	1.9
St. Tammany Parish Jail	4.1	3.0	1.4	1.2	0.4
Terrebonne Parish Jail	3.3	2.4	1.7	1.7	0.8
Maine					
Androscoggin Co. Jail	5.1	2.4	1.1	1.1	2.7
Maryland					
Anne Arundel Co. Dept. of Det. Fac.	1.2	0.4	0.5	1.0	0.6
Baltimore City Det. Ctr.	0.0	0.0	1.6	2.4	3.5
Montgomery Co. Corr. Fac.	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.7	2.5
Washington Co. Det. Ctr.	0.5	0.0	1.2	2.3	0.7
Massachusetts					
Barnstable Co. Corr. Fac.	1.8	1.2	1.2	1.2	0.6
Berkshire Co. Jail & House of Corr.	1.3	2.4	1.3	0.6	2.9
Plymouth Co. Corr. Fac.	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.5	1.3
Worcester Co. Jail & House of Corr.	1.0	1.7	1.1	1.1	1.0
Michigan					
Kalamazoo Co. Jail	0.0	1.5	0.0	0.0	2.6
Kent Co. Corr. Fac.	1.0	1.5	2.9	2.4	2.1
Montmorency Co. Jail	3.6	3.6	0.0	0.0	0.0

Appendix table 6. Percent of local jail inmates reporting sexual victimization, by type of incident, level of coercion, and facility, National Inmate Survey, 2007 (cont.)

Facility name	Inmate-on-inmate		Staff-on-inmate		Without force or pressure ^b
	Physically forced	Pressured ^a	Physically forced	Pressured ^a	
Minnesota					
Hennepin Co. Adult Det. Ctr.	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.3	1.7
Mississippi					
Madison Co. Jail	1.3	0.8	2.8	2.1	0.0
Montana					
Cascade Co. Reg. Jail	3.1	1.9	0.0	0.7	0.7
Nebraska					
Douglas Dept. of Corr.	1.3	1.4	0.5	0.6	1.2
Nevada					
Clark Co. Det. Ctr.	0.0	0.4	1.0	1.7	1.2
Washoe Co. Det. Ctr.	1.0	0.7	0.7	1.2	1.5
New Hampshire					
Hillsborough Co. House of Corr.	0.6	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.9
New Jersey					
Atlantic Co. Gerard L. Gormley Justice Fac.	2.5	1.4	0.0	1.2	1.0
Camden Co. Corr. Fac.	0.3	0.6	0.7	0.7	1.1
Essex Co. Corr. Fac.	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.0	1.0
Hudson Co. Corr. Fac.	0.9	0.5	1.6	1.1	1.7
Mercer Co. Corr. Ctr.	0.4	1.1	0.4	0.4	1.4
Morris Co. Corr. Fac.	0.7	1.3	0.7	0.7	0.4
Union Co. Jail	0.7	0.7	1.5	0.9	2.2
New Mexico					
Bernalillo Co. Metropolitan Det. Ctr.	3.8	1.6	2.5	5.5	2.5
Santa Fe Co. Adult Corr. Fac.	1.2	1.2	1.2	2.9	1.4
Torrance Co. Det. Fac. ^d	4.7	6.4	1.0	0.0	4.2
New York					
Albany Co. Corr. Fac.	0.0	0.0	1.9	1.5	2.1
Erie Co. Corr. Fac.	0.9	2.8	0.5	0.8	1.7
Erie Co. Holding Ctr.	1.9	1.9	3.3	3.3	1.9
Franklin Co. Jail	2.2	1.2	2.4	4.1	3.4
New York City Anna M. Kross Ctr.	1.4	2.1	2.5	1.7	1.8
New York City Otis Bantum Corr. Ctr.	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.5	2.8
New York City Rose M. Singer Ctr. ^c	3.3	4.3	1.1	1.8	1.1
Oswego Co. Corr. Fac.	0.9	1.8	0.0	0.9	0.9
Westchester Co. Penitentiary	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	2.7
North Carolina					
Cleveland Co.	1.6	1.6	2.8	2.4	3.6
Mecklenburg Co. Jail	0.8	1.5	0.7	0.7	1.5
Mecklenburg Co. Jail - North	2.2	2.2	2.8	2.5	1.3
Wake Co. Jail	0.4	0.0	2.4	2.8	2.4
North Dakota					
Cass Co. Jail	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.8
Ohio					
Franklin Co. Corrections Ctr. I	1.9	3.7	0.0	0.5	0.5
Hamilton Co. Justice Ctr.	0.9	0.0	0.7	1.6	1.4
Hamilton Co. Talbert House Drug & Alcohol Treatment	2.6	3.3	1.0	1.0	1.9
River City Corr. Fac.	0.9	1.7	0.8	1.6	1.6
Southeastern Ohio Reg. Jail	1.2	1.2	5.6	5.8	4.4
Oklahoma					
Oklahoma Co. Det. Ctr.	2.9	0.9	0.5	1.2	0.0
Rogers Co. Jail	0.7	1.0	1.4	2.7	0.0
Oregon					
Marion Co. Corr. Fac.	1.5	0.8	1.2	1.2	0.2
Pennsylvania					
Allegheny Co. Jail	1.0	1.0	0.4	0.4	1.2
Lancaster Co. Prison	1.2	1.6	0.0	0.5	2.1
Montgomery Co. Corr. Fac.	2.4	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
Philadelphia City Alternative & Special Det. Fac.	3.5	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.6
Philadelphia City Curran/Fromhold Corr. Fac.	1.2	1.3	0.5	0.5	2.1
Philadelphia City Industrial Corr. Ctr.	1.8	3.1	1.9	2.3	2.0
York Co. Prison	2.0	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0

Appendix table 6. Percent of local jail inmates reporting sexual victimization, by type of incident, level of coercion, and facility, National Inmate Survey, 2007 (cont.)

Facility name	Inmate-on-inmate		Staff-on-inmate		Without force or pressure ^b
	Physically forced	Pressured ^a	Physically forced	Pressured ^a	
South Carolina					
Beaufort Co. Det. Ctr.	0.0	0.7	0.6	0.6	1.3
Berkeley Co. Hill-Finklea Det. Ctr.	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5	1.4
Florence Co. Det. Ctr.	0.0	0.6	1.2	1.8	2.5
Sumter-Lee Reg. Det. Ctr.	2.6	2.4	0.0	0.0	1.1
South Dakota					
Pennington Co. Jail	2.1	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.1
Tennessee					
Madison Co. Penal Farm	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Shelby Co. Corr. Ctr.	1.7	2.1	1.3	2.7	2.1
Shelby Co. Justice Ctr.	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.8	0.3
Sullivan Co. Jail	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.4	1.1
Tipton Co. Jail	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.6
Warren Co. Jail	3.6	0.8	0.0	0.7	0.0
Texas					
Bowie Co. Corr. Ctr.	1.7	1.7	0.0	0.5	0.5
Dallas Co. Decker Det. Ctr.	0.5	0.3	0.9	0.0	0.9
Dallas Co. George Allen Jail	2.7	1.7	0.2	0.5	0.5
Dallas Co. North Tower Jail	2.5	3.0	0.0	1.7	0.4
Dallas Co. West Tower Jail	1.6	1.4	1.0	2.2	1.6
El Paso Co. Jail Annex	1.3	0.9	1.1	2.3	0.4
Galveston Co. Jail	1.7	1.6	1.1	0.5	0.0
Gregg Co. Jail	0.5	1.2	0.8	0.6	2.0
Harris Co. Jail	2.2	0.7	0.6	0.6	1.6
Harris Co. Jail - Baker Street	1.7	2.5	0.8	2.6	0.7
Jefferson Co. Det. Ctr.	1.0	1.0	1.6	2.1	0.4
Montgomery Co. Jail	1.5	1.1	0.4	0.8	0.8
Newton Co. Corr. Ctr. ^d	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.4
Tarrant Co. Corr. Ctr.	1.1	1.1	0.8	1.9	1.1
Travis Co. Corr. Fac.	3.4	4.5	1.8	1.9	0.0
Utah					
Weber Co. Corr. Fac.	2.5	2.5	1.1	1.8	0.4
Virginia					
Duffield Reg. Jail Fac.	1.9	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.5
Prince William-Manassas Reg. Adult Corr. Ctr.	0.6	1.2	1.8	1.8	1.7
Richmond City Jail	1.6	2.9	2.6	2.2	0.9
Roanoke City Jail	2.9	2.7	1.3	1.3	1.4
Washington					
Clark Co. Jail	4.4	0.7	1.2	3.4	1.9
King Co. Corr. Fac.	1.8	2.7	1.6	1.9	0.5
Whatcom Co. Jail	0.4	0.4	4.0	3.5	3.2
West Virginia					
Western Reg. Jail	1.4	0.0	0.7	2.2	1.0
Wisconsin					
Dane Co. Jail	0.4	0.4	2.2	2.2	1.3
Marathon Co. Adult Det. Fac.	1.0	0.7	1.1	2.0	0.9
Waukesha Co. Jail	1.1	0.5	0.7	0.7	1.3
Waupaca Co. Jail	0.9	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.0

Note: Excludes facilities with rates of sexual victimization not statistically different from zero at the 95% confidence level. Details may sum to more than totals on table 4 because victims may report on more than one incident involving different levels of coercion.

^aIncludes incidents in which the perpetrator, without using force, pressured the inmate or made the inmate feel that they had to participate. (See *Methodology* for definitions.)

^bIncludes incidents in which the staff offered favors or privileges in exchange for sex or sexual contact and incidents in which the inmate reported they willingly had sex or sexual contact with staff.

^cFemale facility.

^dPrivate facility.

Appendix 7. Survey items related to inmate-on-inmate sexual victimization, National Inmate Survey, 2007

Males

E16. During the last 6 months, did another inmate use physical force to touch your butt, thighs, or penis in a sexual way?

E17. During the last 6 months, did another inmate, without using physical force, pressure you or make you feel that you had to let them touch your butt, thighs, or penis in a sexual way?

E22. During the last 6 months, did another inmate use physical force to make you give or receive a handjob?

E23. During the last 6 months, did another inmate, without using physical force, pressure you or make you feel that you had to give or receive a handjob?

E26. During the last 6 months, did another inmate use physical force to make you give or receive oral sex or a blow job?

E27. During the last 6 months, did another inmate, without using physical force, pressure you or make you feel that you had to give or receive oral sex or a blow job?

E32. During the last 6 months, did another inmate use physical force to make you have anal sex?

E33. During the last 6 months, did another inmate, without using physical force, pressure you or make you feel that you had to have anal sex?

E34. During the last 6 months, did another inmate use physical force to make you have any type of sex or sexual contact other than sexual touching, handjobs, oral sex or blow jobs, or anal sex?

E35. During the last 6 months, did another inmate, without using physical force, pressure you or make you feel that you had to have any type of sex or sexual contact other than sexual touching, handjobs, oral sex or blowjobs, or anal sex?

Females

E18. During the last 6 months, did another inmate use physical force to touch your butt, thighs, breasts, or vagina in a sexual way?

E19. During the last 6 months, did another inmate, without using physical force, pressure you or make you feel that you had to let them touch your butt, thighs, breasts, or vagina in a sexual way?

E24. During the last 6 months, did another inmate use physical force to make you give or receive oral sex?

E25. During the last 6 months, did another inmate, without using physical force, pressure you or make you feel that you had to give or receive oral sex?

E28. During the last 6 months, did another inmate use physical force to make you have vaginal sex?

E29. During the last 6 months, did another inmate, without using physical force, pressure you or make you feel that you had to have vaginal sex?

E32. During the last 6 months, did another inmate use physical force to make you have anal sex?

E33. During the last 6 months, did another inmate, without using physical force, pressure you or make you feel that you had to have anal sex?

E34. During the last 6 months, did another inmate use physical force to make you have any type of sex or sexual contact other than sexual touching, oral sex, vaginal sex, or anal sex?

E35. During the last 6 months, did another inmate, without using physical force, pressure you or make you feel that you had to have any type of sex or sexual contact other than sexual touching, oral sex, vaginal sex, or anal sex?

Appendix 8. Survey items related to staff sexual misconduct, National Inmate Survey, 2007

These next questions are about the behavior of staff at this facility during the last 6 months. By staff we mean the employees of this facility and anybody who works as a volunteer in this facility.

G4 During the last 6 months, have any facility staff pressured you or made you feel that you had to let them have sex or sexual contact with you?

G5 During the last 6 months, have you been physically forced by any facility staff to have sex or sexual contact?

G7 During the last 6 months, have any facility staff offered you favors or special privileges in exchange for sex or sexual contact?

G2 During the last 6 months, have you willingly had sex or sexual contact with any facility staff?

G11 [IF G2 OR G4 OR G5 = Yes] During the last 6 months, which of the following types of sex or sexual contact did you have with a facility staff person?

G11a. You touched a facility staff person's body or had your body touched in a sexual way

G11b. You gave or received a handjob

G11c. You gave or received oral sex or a blowjob

G11d. You had vaginal sex

G11e. You had anal sex

Appendix 9. Follow-up questions for inmates reporting no sexual activity, National Inmate Survey, 2007

Follow-up questions for inmates reporting no sexual activity in the screener questions for sexual activity with inmates:

LCM1 During the last 6 months, did another inmate use physical force, pressure you, or make you feel that you had to have any type of sex or sexual contact?

LCM2a How long has it been since another inmate in this facility used physical force, pressured you, or made you feel that you had to have any type of sex or sexual contact?

Within the past 7 days

1. ☐ More than 7 days ago but within the past 30 days
2. ☐ More than 30 days ago but within the past 12 months
3. ☐ More than 12 months ago
4. ☐ This has not happened to me at this facility

LCM3 [If Male] During the last 6 months, did another inmate use physical force, pressure you, or make you feel that you had to have oral or anal sex?

[If Female] During the last 6 months, did another inmate use physical force, pressure you, or make you feel that you had to have oral, vaginal, or anal sex?

LCM4a [If Male] How long has it been since another inmate in this facility used physical force, pressured you, or made you feel that you had to have oral or anal sex?

[If Female] How long has it been since another inmate in this facility used physical force, pressured you, or made you feel that you had to have oral, vaginal, or anal sex?

LCM4b [If Male] How long has it been since another inmate in this facility used physical force, pressured you, or made you feel that you had to have oral or anal sex?

[If Female] How long has it been since another inmate in this facility used physical force, pressured you, or made you feel that you had to have oral, vaginal, or anal sex?

Follow-up questions for inmates reporting no sexual activity in the screener questions for sexual activity with staff:

LCM5 During the last 6 months, have you had any sex or sexual contact with staff in this facility whether you wanted to have it or not?

LCM6a How long has it been since you had any sex or sexual contact with staff in this facility whether you wanted to or not?

1. ☐ Within the past 7 days
2. ☐ More than 7 days ago but within the past 30 days
3. ☐ More than 30 days ago but within the past 12 months
4. ☐ More than 12 months ago
5. ☐ This has not happened to me at this facility

LCM7 In the last 6 months, did you have oral, vaginal, or anal sex with any staff at this facility whether you wanted to or not?

LCM8a How long has it been since you had oral, vaginal, or anal sex with any staff at this facility whether you wanted to or not?

LCM8b How long has it been since you had oral or anal sex with any staff at this facility whether you wanted to or not?